

Appendix F

Traffic Analysis Capacity Calculations

## TRAFFIC CAPACITY CALCULATIONS APPENDIX F

### EXISTING CONDITION

	<b>Page</b>
Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road p.m. peak hour	F-1
Church Street/ US Route 6 p.m. peak hour	F-2
US Route 6/ Site Access p.m. peak hour	F-3
Fair Street / John Simpson Road p.m. peak hour	F-4
Fair Street/ NYS Route 52 p.m. peak hour	F-5
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 p.m. peak hour	F-6
Stoneleigh Avenue/ US Route 6 p.m. peak hour	F-7
US Route 6/ John Simpson p.m. peak hour	F-8
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 p.m. peak hour	F-9

Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road Saturday peak hour	F-10
Church Street/ US Route 6 Saturday peak hour	F-11
US Route 6/ Site Access Saturday peak hour	F-12
Fair Street / John Simpson Road Saturday peak hour	F-13
Fair Street/ NYS Route 52 Saturday peak hour	F-14
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 Saturday peak hour	F-15
Stoneleigh Avenue/ US Route 6 Saturday peak hour	F-16
US Route 6/ John Simpson Saturday peak hour	F-17
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Saturday peak hour	F-18

### NO BUILD CONDITION

Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road p.m. peak hour	F-19
Church Street/ US Route 6 p.m. peak hour	F-20
US Route 6/ Site Access p.m. peak hour	F-21
Fair Street / John Simpson Road p.m. peak hour	F-22
Fair Street/ NYS Route 52 p.m. peak hour	F-23
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 p.m. peak hour	F-24
Stoneleigh Avenue/ US Route 6 p.m. peak hour	F-25
US Route 6/ John Simpson p.m. peak hour	F-26
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 p.m. peak hour	F-27

Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road Saturday peak hour	F-28
Church Street/ US Route 6 Saturday peak hour	F-29
US Route 6/ Site Access Saturday peak hour	F-30
Fair Street / John Simpson Road Saturday peak hour	F-31
Fair Street/ NYS Route 52 Saturday peak hour	F-32
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 Saturday peak hour	F-33
Stoneleigh Avenue/ US Route 6 Saturday peak hour	F-34
US Route 6/ John Simpson Saturday peak hour	F-35
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Saturday peak hour	F-36

**BUILD CONDITION****Page**

Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road p.m. peak hour	F-37
Fair Street/ Site Access p.m. peak hour	F-38
Church Street/ US Route 6 p.m. peak hour	F-39
US Route 6/ Site Access (West) p.m. peak hour	F-40
Fair Street / John Simpson Road p.m. peak hour	F-41
Fair Street/ NYS Route 52 p.m. peak hour	F-42
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 p.m. peak hour	F-43
US Route 6/ Site Access (Primary East) p.m. peak hour	F-44
Stoneleigh Avenue/ US Route 6 p.m. peak hour	F-45
US Route 6/ John Simpson p.m. peak hour	F-46
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 p.m. peak hour	F-47
Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road Saturday peak hour	F-48
Fair Street/ Site Access Saturday peak hour	F-49
Church Street/ US Route 6 Saturday peak hour	F-50
US Route 6/ Site Access (West) Saturday peak hour	F-51
Fair Street / John Simpson Road Saturday peak hour	F-52
Fair Street/ NYS Route 52 Saturday peak hour	F-53
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 Saturday peak hour	F-54
US Route 6/ Site Access (Primary East) Saturday peak hour	F-55
Stoneleigh Avenue/ US Route 6 Saturday peak hour	F-56
US Route 6/ John Simpson Saturday peak hour	F-57
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Saturday peak hour	F-58

**IMPROVEMENT CONDITION**

US Route 6/ Site Access (West) p.m. peak hour	F-59
Church Street/ US Route 6 p.m. peak hour	F-60
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 p.m. peak hour	F-61
Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road p.m. peak hour	F-62
US Route 6/ John Simpson p.m. peak hour	F-63
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 p.m. peak hour	F-64
US Route 6/ Site Access (West) Saturday peak hour	F-65
Church Street/ US Route 6 Saturday peak hour	F-66
US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 Saturday peak hour	F-67
Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Road Saturday peak hour	F-68
US Route 6/ John Simpson Saturday peak hour	F-69
US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Saturday peak hour	F-70

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>					<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG				Intersection	Fair Street/ Dale Road		
Agency/Co.	TMA				Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	11/25/03				Analysis Year	Existing Condition		
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Fair Street					North/South Street: Dale Road			
Intersection Orientation: East-West					Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	87	305	0	0	327	232		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.83	0.83		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	96	338	0	0	393	279		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	1	-	-	0	-	-		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	77	0	59		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.87	1.00	0.87		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	88	0	67		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L		R		
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, v (vph)	96					88		67
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	923					223		549
v/c ratio	0.10					0.39		0.12
Queue length (95%)	0.35					1.77		0.41
Control Delay (s/veh)	9.4					31.3		12.5
LOS	A					D		B
Approach delay (s/veh)	-	-				23.2		
Approach LOS	-	-				C		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Church Street and US Route 6			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	10/14/03			Analysis Year	Existing Condition			
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Church Street				North/South Street: US Route 6				
Intersection Orientation: North-South				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	245	638	0	0	478	6		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.94	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.92		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	260	678	0	0	519	6		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	2	--	--	0	--	--		
Median Type	Undivided							
RT Channelized			0				0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT						TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Westbound			Eastbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	0	0	0	0	0	231		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.80		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	0	0	0	0	0	288		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Percent Grade (%)	0			-6				
Flared Approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Configuration						R		
<b>Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service</b>								
Approach	NB	SB	Westbound			Eastbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT							R
v (vph)	260							288
C (m) (vph)	1042							557
v/c	0.25							0.52
95% queue length	0.99							2.95
Control Delay	9.6							18.2
LOS	A							C
Approach Delay	--	--				18.2		
Approach LOS	--	--				C		

Rights Reserved

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	11/9/03			Analysis Year	Existing Condition		
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways							
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>							
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	1	773	0	0	601	1	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	1	858	0	0	646	1	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	-	-	0	-	-	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT					TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0		
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	4	0	4	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent grade (%)	0			0			
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Configuration					LR		
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT						LR
Volume, v (vph)	1						8
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	948						210
v/c ratio	0.00						0.04
Queue length (95%)	0.00						0.12
Control Delay (s/veh)	8.8						22.8
LOS	A						C
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--					22.8
Approach LOS	--	--					C

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Fair Street/John Simpson		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	The Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	10/9/03			Analysis Year	Existing Condition		
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit - The Fairways							
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: John Simpson Road			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>							
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	260	144	154	266	0	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.84	0.84	0.77	0.77	1.00	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	309	171	200	345	0	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	-	-	4	-	-	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration			TR	LT			
Upstream Signal		0			0		
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	332	0	371	0	0	0	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	1.00	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	368	0	412	0	0	0	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	2	0	2	0	0	0	
Percent grade (%)	-3			0			
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Configuration	L		R				
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration		LT	L		R		
Volume, v (vph)		200	368		412		
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)		1072	181		655		
v/c ratio		0.19	2.03		0.63		
Queue length (95%)		0.68	28.26		4.44		
Control Delay (s/veh)		9.1	526.1		19.3		
LOS		A	F		C		
Approach delay (s/veh)	-	-	258.4				
Approach LOS	-	-	F				

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	NYS Route 52/Fair Street					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	7/6/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Existing Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R		LT	
Volume, $V$ (vph)				277		95		644	283	54	370	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				1		1		3	3	3	3	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.89		0.89		0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Extension of effective green, $e$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3		3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	
Filtering/metering, $I$				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		9.0		11.0	10.0		16.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	Y
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												20
Buses stopping, $N_B$				0		0		0	0		0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 55.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, $T = 0.25$						Cycle Length, $C = 85.0$						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, $v$				311		107		700	308		461	
Lane group capacity, $c$				407		339		1154	947		932	
v/c ratio, $X$				0.76		0.32		0.61	0.33		0.49	
Total green ratio, $g/C$				0.24		0.24		0.65	0.65		0.65	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				30.3		26.8		8.7	6.7		7.8	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Delay calibration, $k$				0.32		0.11		0.19	0.11		0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				8.4		0.5		0.9	0.2		0.4	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				38.7		27.4		9.6	6.9		8.2	
Lane group LOS				D		C		A	A		A	
Approach delay				35.8			8.8			8.2		
Approach LOS				D			A			A		
Intersection delay	14.6			$X_c = 0.65$			Intersection LOS			B		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	US Route 6/ NYS Route 52					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	10/10/03					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Existing Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				36		550		468	58	385	322	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		3	3	4	4	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.90		0.90		0.91	0.91	0.87	0.87	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	SB Only	NS Perm	07	08				
Timing	G = 18.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 30.0	G = 37.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				40		611		514	64	443	370	
Lane group capacity, c				306		807		679	654	666	1322	
v/c ratio, X				0.13		0.76		0.76	0.10	0.67	0.28	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.18		0.53		0.37	0.37	0.72	0.72	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				34.4		18.4		27.6	20.6	13.0	4.9	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.11		0.31		0.31	0.11	0.24	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				0.2		4.2		4.9	0.1	2.5	0.1	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				34.6		22.6		32.5	20.7	15.5	5.0	
Lane group LOS				C		C		C	C	B	A	
Approach delay				23.3			31.2			10.7		
Approach LOS				C			C			B		
Intersection delay	20.5			$X_c = 0.76$			Intersection LOS			C		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst <b>JAG</b> Agency or Co. <b>TMA</b> Date Performed <b>7/8/04</b> Time Period <b>PM Peak Hour</b>						Intersection <b>US Route 6/Stoneleigh Ave.</b> Area Type <b>All other areas</b> Jurisdiction <b>Town of Carmel</b> Analysis Year <b>Existing Condition</b> Project ID <b>Gateway Summit - The Fairways</b>						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
Lane group		LT			LT		L	TR		L	T	R
Volume, $V$ (vph)	275	69		137	46		46	400	109	86	443	131
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3		2	2		2	2	2	1	1	1
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.88	0.88		0.88	0.88		0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, $e$		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT		3			3		3	3		3	3	3
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, $I$		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0			0		10	0		58
Lane width		16.0			16.0		10.0	14.0		13.0	12.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	-1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$		0			0		0	0		0	0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	WB Only	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 25.0	G = 15.0	G =	G =	G = 35.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, $T = 0.25$						Cycle Length, $C = 90.0$						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, $v$		391			208		52	568		98	503	83
Lane group capacity, $c$		556			341		172	746		144	735	1161
v/c ratio, $X$		0.70			0.61		0.30	0.76		0.68	0.68	0.07
Total green ratio, $g/C$		0.28			0.17		0.39	0.39		0.39	0.39	0.72
Uniform delay, $d_1$		29.2			34.8		19.0	23.9		22.9	22.9	3.7
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, $k$		0.27			0.20		0.11	0.31		0.25	0.25	0.11
Incremental delay, $d_2$		4.0			3.2		1.0	4.6		12.3	2.6	0.0
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay		33.2			38.0		20.0	28.5		35.2	25.5	3.7
Lane group LOS		C			D		C	C		D	C	A
Approach delay	33.2			38.0			27.8			24.3		
Approach LOS	C			D			C			C		
Intersection delay	28.7			$X_c = 0.71$			Intersection LOS			C		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	Rt 6/John Simpson Road					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	10/12/03					Jurisdiction	Town of Southeast					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Existing Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	182	614	5	136	544	328	7	138	146	193	58	68
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, I <sub>1</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 50.0	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G = 33.0	G = 7.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 120.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	204	696		143	573	345		327		227	148	
Lane group capacity, c	375	905		267	742	631		441		335	609	
v/c ratio, X	0.54	0.77		0.54	0.77	0.55		0.74		0.68	0.24	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.54	0.42		0.54	0.42	0.42		0.28		0.38	0.38	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	37.6	30.0		44.7	30.1	26.4		39.6		42.8	25.8	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.14	0.32		0.14	0.32	0.15		0.30		0.25	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	1.6	4.1		2.1	5.1	1.0		6.6		5.4	0.2	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	39.2	34.1		46.8	35.2	27.4		46.2		48.3	26.0	
Lane group LOS	D	C		D	D	C		D		D	C	
Approach delay	35.3			34.2			46.2			39.5		
Approach LOS	D			C			D			D		
Intersection delay	36.8			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			D		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	US Route 6/ NYS Route 312					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	10/15/03					Jurisdiction	Town of Southeast					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Existing Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R
Volume, V (vph)	650	305	7	1	330	147	2	18	2	103	2	695
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3	3	6	6	6	14	14	14	2	2	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.91	0.91	0.91
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_b$	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	EW Perm	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 35.0	G = 30.0	G =	G =	G = 15.0	G =	G =	G =	G =			
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y =			
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 95.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	670	321		1	407	181		56			115	764
Lane group capacity, c	778	1348		310	566	513		269			202	930
v/c ratio, X	0.86	0.24		0.00	0.72	0.35		0.21			0.57	0.82
Total green ratio, g/C	0.74	0.74		0.32	0.32	0.32		0.16			0.16	0.58
Uniform delay, $d_1$	16.2	4.0		22.3	28.8	25.0		34.8			37.0	16.1
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.39	0.11		0.11	0.28	0.11		0.11			0.16	0.36
Incremental delay, $d_2$	9.7	0.1		0.0	4.4	0.4		0.4			3.8	6.0
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay	25.9	4.1		22.3	33.2	25.4		35.2			40.8	22.0
Lane group LOS	C	A		C	C	C		D			D	C
Approach delay	18.8			30.8			35.2			24.5		
Approach LOS	B			C			D			C		
Intersection delay	24.0			$X_c = 0.79$			Intersection LOS			C		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Fair Street/ Dale Road		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	11/25/03			Analysis Year	Existing Condition		
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways							
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: Dale Road			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>							
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	65	214	0	0	212	101	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.82	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	80	264	0	0	258	123	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0				0
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT						TR
Upstream Signal		0			0		
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	109	0	81	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.83	1.00	0.83	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	131	0	97	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Percent grade (%)	0			0			
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0				0
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Configuration				L			R
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT					L	R
Volume, v (vph)	80					131	97
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	1189					358	723
v/c ratio	0.07					0.37	0.13
Queue length (95%)	0.22					1.64	0.46
Control Delay (s/veh)	8.2					20.7	10.7
LOS	A					C	B
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				16.5	
Approach LOS	--	--				C	

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Church Street and US Route 6			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	10/9/03			Analysis Year	Existing Condition			
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Church Street				North/South Street: US Route 6				
Intersection Orientation: North-South				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	161	538	0	0	546	6		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	165	554	0	0	587	6		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	2	--	--	0	--	--		
Median Type	Undivided							
RT Channelized			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Westbound			Eastbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	0	0	0	0	0	296		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.93		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	0	0	0	0	0	318		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Percent Grade (%)	0			-6				
Flared Approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Configuration						R		
<b>Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service</b>								
Approach	NB	SB	Westbound			Eastbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT							R
v (vph)	165							318
C (m) (vph)	983							510
v/c	0.17							0.62
95% queue length	0.60							4.22
Control Delay	9.4							23.0
LOS	A							C
Approach Delay	--	--				23.0		
Approach LOS	--	--				C		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	7/9/04			Analysis Year	Existing Condition		
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways							
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>							
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	1	732	0	0	629	1	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.89	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	1	787	0	0	706	1	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	-	--	0	-	-	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT					TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0		
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	4	0	4	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent grade (%)	0			0			
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Configuration					LR		
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT						LR
Volume, v (vph)	1						8
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	901						209
v/c ratio	0.00						0.04
Queue length (95%)	0.00						0.12
Control Delay (s/veh)	9.0						22.9
LOS	A						C
Approach delay (s/veh)	-	-					22.9
Approach LOS	-	-					C

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Fair Street/John Simpson		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	The Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	11/25/03			Analysis Year	Existing Condition		
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour						
Project Description: Gateway Summit - The Fairways							
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: John Simpson Road			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>							
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	130	210	176	112	0	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.82	1.00	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	156	253	214	136	0	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	5	--	--	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0				0
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration			TR	LT			
Upstream Signal		0			0		
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	195	0	139	0	0	0	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.88	1.00	0.88	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	221	0	157	0	0	0	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	2	0	2	0	0	0	
Percent grade (%)		-3			0		
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Configuration	L		R				
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration		LT	L		R		
Volume, v (vph)		214	221		157		
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)		1134	270		757		
v/c ratio		0.19	0.82		0.21		
Queue length (95%)		0.69	6.54		0.78		
Control Delay (s/veh)		8.9	58.6		11.0		
LOS		A	F		B		
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--	38.8				
Approach LOS	--	--	E				

HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT												
General Information						Site Information						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	NYS Route 52/Fair Street					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	10/14/03					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Existing Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R		LT	
Volume, $V$ (vph)				213		94		562	214	53	544	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				0		0		3	3	3	3	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.86		0.86		0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Extension of effective green, $e$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3		3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	
Filtering/metering, $I$				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		9.0		11.0	10.0		16.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	Y
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												20
Buses stopping, $N_b$				0		0		0	0		0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 55.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, $T = 0.25$							Cycle Length, $C = 85.0$					
Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, $v$				248		109		598	228		642	
Lane group capacity, $c$				411		342		1154	947		987	
v/c ratio, $X$				0.60		0.32		0.52	0.24		0.65	
Total green ratio, $g/C$				0.24		0.24		0.65	0.65		0.65	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				29.0		26.9		8.0	6.3		9.1	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Delay calibration, $k$				0.19		0.11		0.12	0.11		0.23	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				2.5		0.5		0.4	0.1		1.5	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				31.5		27.4		8.4	6.4		10.7	
Lane group LOS				C		C		A	A		B	
Approach delay				30.2			7.8			10.7		
Approach LOS				C			A			B		
Intersection delay	13.2			$X_c = 0.64$			Intersection LOS			B		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	US Route 6/ NYS Route 52					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	10/10/03					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Existing Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				79		504		318	64	535	339	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		5	5	2	2	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.81		0.81		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	SB Only	NS Perm	07	08				
Timing	G = 18.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 30.0	G = 37.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 100.0					
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				98		622		353	71	594	377	
Lane group capacity, c				306		807		666	642	762	1348	
v/c ratio, X				0.32		0.77		0.53	0.11	0.78	0.28	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.18		0.53		0.37	0.37	0.72	0.72	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				35.7		18.7		24.7	20.7	9.9	4.9	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.11		0.32		0.13	0.11	0.33	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				0.6		4.6		0.8	0.1	5.2	0.1	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				36.3		23.3		25.5	20.8	15.1	5.0	
Lane group LOS				D		C		C	C	B	A	
Approach delay				25.1			24.7			11.2		
Approach LOS				C			C			B		
Intersection delay	18.6			$X_c = 0.67$			Intersection LOS			B		

**HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT**

General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG	Intersection	US Route 6/Stoneleigh Ave.				
Agency or Co.	TMA	Area Type	All other areas				
Date Performed	8/26/04	Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel				
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour	Analysis Year	Existing Condition				
		Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways				

Volume and Timing Input													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	
Lane group		LT			LT		L	TR		L	T	R	
Volume, V (vph)	122	59		210	52		32	432	138	89	457	140	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2		1	1		1	1	1	2	2	2	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93		0.91	0.91		0.95	0.95	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.88	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT		3			3		3	3		3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0			0		11	0		42	
Lane width		16.0			16.0		10.0	14.0		13.0	12.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	-1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N	
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>													
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>		0			0		0	0		0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>		3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	WB Only	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08					
Timing	G = 16.0	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G = 31.0	G = 3.0	G =	G =					
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =					
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 90.0						

Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Adjusted flow rate, v		194			288		34	589		101	519	111	
Lane group capacity, c		361			458		141	664		249	811	1061	
v/c ratio, X		0.54			0.63		0.24	0.89		0.41	0.64	0.10	
Total green ratio, g/C		0.18			0.22		0.34	0.34		0.43	0.43	0.67	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>		33.6			31.6		21.1	27.8		34.3	20.0	5.4	
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k		0.14			0.21		0.11	0.41		0.11	0.22	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>		1.6			2.8		0.9	13.8		1.1	1.7	0.0	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>													
Control delay		35.2			34.4		22.0	41.6		35.3	21.7	5.4	
Lane group LOS		D			C		C	D		D	C	A	
Approach delay		35.2			34.4			40.6			21.1		
Approach LOS		D			C			D			C		
Intersection delay		31.3			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			C		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/2/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection Rt 6/John Simpson Road Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year Existing Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	106	615	11	96	550	174	11	43	94	194	36	68
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.95	0.95	0.95
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 60.0	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G = 23.0	G = 7.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 120.0					
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	118	695		119	679	215		180		204	110	
Lane group capacity, c	400	1085		369	908	772		299		331	475	
v/c ratio, X	0.29	0.64		0.32	0.75	0.28		0.60		0.62	0.23	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.63	0.50		0.63	0.50	0.50		0.19		0.29	0.29	
Uniform delay, $d_1$	28.8	22.1		30.3	24.0	17.4		44.3		43.9	32.3	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.11	0.22		0.11	0.30	0.11		0.19		0.20	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$	0.4	1.3		0.5	3.5	0.2		3.4		3.4	0.3	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay	29.2	23.4		30.8	27.4	17.6		47.7		47.3	32.5	
Lane group LOS	C	C		C	C	B		D		D	C	
Approach delay	24.2			25.7			47.7			42.1		
Approach LOS	C			C			D			D		
Intersection delay	29.1			$X_c = 0.00$			Intersection LOS			C		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/9/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year Existing Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>l</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R
Volume, V (vph)	679	224	0	1	233	81	3	2	0	72	4	584
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	1	1	1	3	3	3	0	0	0	2	2	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.85	0.85	0.85
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>1</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	EW Perm	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 35.0	G = 25.0	G =	G =	G = 15.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 90.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	763	252		1	274	95		8			90	687
Lane group capacity, c	887	1352		299	513	464		292			223	982
v/c ratio, X	0.86	0.19		0.00	0.53	0.20		0.03			0.40	0.70
Total green ratio, g/C	0.72	0.72		0.28	0.28	0.28		0.17			0.17	0.61
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	10.9	4.0		23.5	27.6	24.9		31.4			33.5	11.9
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.39	0.11		0.11	0.14	0.11		0.11			0.11	0.27
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	8.6	0.1		0.0	1.1	0.2		0.0			1.2	2.2
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	19.5	4.1		23.5	28.7	25.1		31.4			34.7	14.1
Lane group LOS	B	A		C	C	C		C			C	B
Approach delay	15.6			27.7			31.4			16.5		
Approach LOS	B			C			C			B		
Intersection delay	18.1			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.73			Intersection LOS			B		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Fair Street/ Dale Road		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	7/9/04			Analysis Year	No Build Condition		
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways							
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: Dale Road			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments							
Major Street	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	112	355	0	0	367	301	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.83	0.83	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	124	394	0	0	442	362	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	1	--	--	0	--	--	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT					TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0		
Minor Street	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	118	0	77	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.87	1.00	0.87	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	135	0	88	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Percent grade (%)	0			0			
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Configuration				L		R	
Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT					L	R
Volume, v (vph)	124					135	88
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	825					160	488
v/c ratio	0.15					0.84	0.18
Queue length (95%)	0.53					5.72	0.65
Control Delay (s/veh)	10.1					91.2	14.0
LOS	B					F	B
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				60.8	
Approach LOS	--	--				F	

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Church Street and US Route 6		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	7/9/04			Analysis Year	No Build Condition		
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways							
East/West Street: Church Street				North/South Street: US Route 6			
Intersection Orientation: North-South				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments							
Major Street	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume	282	955	0	0	753	7	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.94	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.92	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	300	1015	0	0	818	7	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	2	--	--	0	--	--	
Median Type	Undivided						
RT Channelized			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT					TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0		
Minor Street	Westbound			Eastbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume	0	0	0	0	0	265	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.80	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	0	0	0	0	0	331	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Percent Grade (%)		0			-6		
Flared Approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized			0			0	
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Configuration						R	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service							
Approach	NB	SB	Westbound			Eastbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT						R
v (vph)	300						331
C (m) (vph)	805						376
v/c	0.37						0.88
95% queue length	1.73						8.68
Control Delay	12.1						54.9
LOS	B						F
Approach Delay	--	--					54.9
Approach LOS	--	--					F

Rights Reserved

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	7/9/04			Analysis Year	No Build Condition		
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways							
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>							
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	1	1021	0	0	828	1	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	1	1134	0	0	890	1	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	-	-	0	-	-	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT					TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0		
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	4	0	4	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent grade (%)	0			0			
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Configuration					LR		
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT						LR
Volume, v (vph)	1						8
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	769						108
v/c ratio	0.00						0.07
Queue length (95%)	0.00						0.24
Control Delay (s/veh)	9.7						41.0
LOS	A						E
Approach delay (s/veh)	-	--					41.0
Approach LOS	-	--					E

HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT												
General Information						Site Information						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/6/04 Time Period PM Peak Hour						Intersection Fair Street/John Simpson Road Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Carmel Analysis Year No Build Condition Project ID Gateway - Fairways						
Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Lane group		T	R	L	T		L		R			
Volume, V (vph)		306	192	196	299		415		447			
% Heavy vehicles, %HV		0	0	2	2		1		1			
Peak-hour factor, PHF		0.83	0.83	0.82	0.82		0.88		0.88			
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)		P	P	P	P		P		P			
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0			
Extension of effective green, e		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0			
Arrival type, AT		3	3	3	3		3		3			
Unit extension, UE		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0			
Filtering/metering, I		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000			
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0				0		0	0		
Lane width		12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0		12.0		12.0			
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N		N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>		0	0	0	0		0		0			
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2						3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	WB Only	03		04		NB Only	06		07		08
Timing	G = 20.0	G = 5.0	G =		G =		G = 20.0	G =		G =		G =
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =		Y =		Y = 5	Y =		Y =		Y =
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 60.0						
Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v		369	231	239	365		472		508			
Lane group capacity, c		633	1211	500	932		596		800			
v/c ratio, X		0.58	0.19	0.48	0.39		0.79		0.63			
Total green ratio, g/C		0.33	0.75	0.50	0.50		0.33		0.50			
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>		16.5	2.2	15.6	9.3		18.1		11.0			
Progression factor, PF		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000			
Delay calibration, k		0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		0.50		0.50			
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>		3.9	0.4	3.3	1.2		10.4		3.8			
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay		20.4	2.5	18.9	10.6		28.5		14.8			
Lane group LOS		C	A	B	B		C		B			
Approach delay	13.5			13.9			21.4					
Approach LOS	B			B			C					
Intersection delay	17.2			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			B		

**HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT**

General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG	Intersection	NYS Route 52/Fair Street				
Agency or Co.	TMA	Area Type	All other areas				
Date Performed	7/9/04	Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel				
Time Period	PM Peak Hour	Analysis Year	No Build Condition				
		Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways				

Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R		LT	
Volume, V (vph)				337		117		847	346	75	554	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				1		1		3	3	3	3	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.89		0.89		0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3		3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		9.0		11.0	10.0		16.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	Y
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												20
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>				0		0		0	0		0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 55.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 85.0					

Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				379		131		921	376		684	
Lane group capacity, c				407		339		1154	947		848	
v/c ratio, X				0.93		0.39		0.80	0.40		0.81	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.24		0.24		0.65	0.65		0.65	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>				31.8		27.3		10.9	7.1		11.1	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.45		0.11		0.34	0.11		0.35	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>				28.0		0.7		4.0	0.3		5.8	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay				59.9		28.1		15.0	7.4		16.9	
Lane group LOS				E		C		B	A		B	
Approach delay				51.7			12.8			16.9		
Approach LOS				D			B			B		
Intersection delay	21.9			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.84			Intersection LOS			C		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/14/04 Time Period PM Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Carmel Analysis Year No Build Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				148		754		563	160	557	411	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		3	3	4	4	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.90		0.90		0.91	0.91	0.87	0.87	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_s$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_b$				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	SB Only	NS Perm	07	08				
Timing	G = 18.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 30.0	G = 37.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				164		838		619	176	640	472	
Lane group capacity, c				306		807		679	654	625	1322	
v/c ratio, X				0.54		1.04		0.91	0.27	1.02	0.36	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.18		0.53		0.37	0.37	0.72	0.72	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				37.2		23.5		29.9	22.0	25.4	5.3	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.14		0.50		0.43	0.11	0.50	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				1.9		42.1		16.6	0.2	42.2	0.2	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				39.1		65.6		46.6	22.3	67.6	5.4	
Lane group LOS				D		E		D	C	E	A	
Approach delay				61.2			41.2			41.2		
Approach LOS				E			D			D		
Intersection delay	48.1			$X_c = 1.08$			Intersection LOS			D		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>													
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>							
Analyst <b>JAG</b> Agency or Co. <b>TMA</b> Date Performed <b>7/8/04</b> Time Period <b>PM Peak Hour</b>						Intersection <b>US Route 6/Stonelaigh Ave.</b> Area Type <b>All other areas</b> Jurisdiction <b>Town of Carmel</b> Analysis Year <b>No Build Condition</b> Project ID <b>Gateway Summit - The Fairways</b>							
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Lane group		LT			LT		L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume, V (vph)	382	127		307	104		72	432	171	208	467	203	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3		2	2		2	2	2	1	1	1	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.88	0.88		0.88	0.88		0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT		3			3		3	3	3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0			0		50	0		58	
Lane width		16.0			16.0		10.0	14.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	-1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N	
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$													
Buses stopping, $N_B$		0			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$		3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	WB Only	03	04	NS Perm	Excl. Left	07	08					
Timing	G = 25.0	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G = 30.0	G = 5.0	G =						
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =						
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Adjusted flow rate, v		578			467		82	491	138	236	531	165	
Lane group capacity, c		501			409		236	593	473	269	567	884	
v/c ratio, X		1.15			1.14		0.35	0.83	0.29	0.88	0.94	0.19	
Total green ratio, g/C		0.25			0.20		0.40	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.30	0.55	
Uniform delay, $d_1$		37.5			40.0		38.1	32.6	26.9	41.2	34.1	11.3	
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k		0.50			0.50		0.11	0.37	0.11	0.40	0.45	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$		89.9			89.2		0.9	9.5	0.3	26.2	23.3	0.1	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$													
Control delay		127.4			129.2		39.0	42.1	27.2	67.4	57.3	11.4	
Lane group LOS		F			F		D	D	C	E	E	B	
Approach delay	127.4			129.2			38.9			51.7			
Approach LOS	F			F			D			D			
Intersection delay	78.1			$X_c = 0.00$			Intersection LOS			E			

HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT												
General Information						Site Information						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	Rt 6/John Simpson Road					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	7/10/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Southeast					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	No Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	229	818	6	172	745	405	8	166	179	240	70	95
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03			04		NS Perm	SB Only		07	08
Timing	G = 50.0	G = 10.0	G =			G =		G = 33.0	G = 7.0		G =	G =
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =			Y =		Y = 5	Y = 5		Y =	Y =
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 120.0					
Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	257	926		181	784	426		397		282	194	
Lane group capacity, c	282	905		265	742	631		440		301	605	
v/c ratio, X	0.91	1.02		0.68	1.06	0.68		0.90		0.94	0.32	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.54	0.42		0.54	0.42	0.42		0.28		0.38	0.38	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	49.6	35.0		47.6	35.0	28.4		41.9		47.8	26.6	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.43	0.50		0.25	0.50	0.25		0.42		0.45	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	31.6	35.9		7.1	49.0	2.9		21.5		35.5	0.3	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	81.2	70.9		54.7	84.0	31.3		63.4		83.4	26.9	
Lane group LOS	F	E		D	F	C		E		F	C	
Approach delay	73.2			64.0			63.4			60.4		
Approach LOS	E			E			E			E		
Intersection delay	66.6			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			E		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/5/04 Time Period PM Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year No Build Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R
Volume, V (vph)	840	400	8	1	429	186	2	20	2	150	2	911
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3	3	6	6	6	14	14	14	2	2	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.91	0.91	0.91
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	EW Perm	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 35.0	G = 30.0	G =	G =	G = 15.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 95.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	866	420		1	530	230		61			167	1001
Lane group capacity, c	697	1348		283	566	513		252			203	930
v/c ratio, X	1.24	0.31		0.00	0.94	0.45		0.24			0.82	1.08
Total green ratio, g/C	0.74	0.74		0.32	0.32	0.32		0.16			0.16	0.58
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	25.0	4.3		22.3	31.6	25.9		35.0			38.7	20.0
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.50	0.11		0.11	0.45	0.11		0.11			0.36	0.50
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	121.0	0.1		0.0	23.3	0.6		0.5			23.1	52.3
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	146.1	4.4		22.3	54.8	26.5		35.5			61.8	72.3
Lane group LOS	F	A		C	D	C		D			E	E
Approach delay	99.8			46.2			35.5			70.8		
Approach LOS	F			D			D			E		
Intersection delay	75.8			X <sub>c</sub> = 1.93			Intersection LOS			E		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
General Information				Site Information				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Fair Street/ Dale Road			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	7/9/04			Analysis Year	No Build Condition			
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: Dale Road				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments								
Major Street	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	80	247	0	0	253	146		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.82		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	98	304	0	0	308	178		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
Minor Street	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	140	0	96		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.83	1.00	0.83		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	168	0	115		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L		R		
Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, v (vph)	98					168		115
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	1087					284		655
v/c ratio	0.09					0.59		0.18
Queue length (95%)	0.30					3.50		0.63
Control Delay (s/veh)	8.6					34.5		11.7
LOS	A					D		B
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				25.2		
Approach LOS	--	--				D		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Church Street and US Route 6		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	7/9/04			Analysis Year	No Build Condition		
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways							
East/West Street: Church Street				North/South Street: US Route 6			
Intersection Orientation: North-South				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments							
Major Street	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume	185	806	0	0	829	7	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	190	830	0	0	891	7	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	2	--	--	0	--	--	
Median Type	Undivided						
RT Channelized			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT					TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0		
Minor Street	Westbound			Eastbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume	0	0	0	0	0	334	
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.93	
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	0	0	0	0	0	359	
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Percent Grade (%)	0			-6			
Flared Approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized			0			0	
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Configuration						R	
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service							
Approach	NB	SB	Westbound			Eastbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT						R
v (vph)	190						359
C (m) (vph)	756						342
v/c	0.25						1.05
95% queue length	0.99						12.71
Control Delay	11.4						98.1
LOS	B						F
Approach Delay	--	--				98.1	
Approach LOS	--	--				F	

Rights Reserved

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>					<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG				Intersection	US Route 6/Site		
Agency/Co.	TMA				Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	7/9/04				Analysis Year	No Build Condition		
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways								
East/West Street: US Route 6					North/South Street: Site			
Intersection Orientation: East-West					Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	1	955	0	0	848	1		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.89		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	1	1026	0	0	952	1		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.25		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	4	0	4		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Configuration					LR			
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT						LR	
Volume, v (vph)	1						8	
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	729						113	
v/c ratio	0.00						0.07	
Queue length (95%)	0.00						0.22	
Control Delay (s/veh)	9.9						39.3	
LOS	A						E	
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--					39.3	
Approach LOS	--	--					E	

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>													
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>							
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	Fair St./John Simpson Rd.						
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas						
Date Performed	7/6/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel						
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	No Build Condition						
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Lane group		T	R	L	T		L		R				
Volume, V (vph)		151	256	217	131		261		178				
% Heavy vehicles, %HV		4	4	4	4		2		2				
Peak-hour factor, PHF		0.84	0.84	0.77	0.77		0.90		0.90				
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)		P	P	P	P		P		P				
Start-up lost time, $l_i$		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0				
Extension of effective green, e		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0				
Arrival type, AT		3	3	3	3		3		3				
Unit extension, UE		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0				
Filtering/metering, I		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000				
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0				
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0				0		0	0			
Lane width		12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0		12.0		12.0				
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N		N	
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$													
Buses stopping, $N_b$		0	0	0	0		0		0				
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$		3.2				3.2				3.2			
Phasing	EW Perm	WB Only	03	04	NB Only	06	07	08					
Timing	G = 20.0	G = 5.0	G =	G =	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =					
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =					
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 60.0							
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Adjusted flow rate, v		180	305	282	170		290		198				
Lane group capacity, c		609	1165	634	914		590		792				
v/c ratio, X		0.30	0.26	0.44	0.19		0.49		0.25				
Total green ratio, g/C		0.33	0.75	0.50	0.50		0.33		0.50				
Uniform delay, $d_1$		14.8	2.3	11.5	8.3		15.9		8.6				
Progression factor, PF		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000				
Delay calibration, k		0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		0.50		0.50				
Incremental delay, $d_2$		1.2	0.5	2.3	0.4		2.9		0.8				
Initial queue delay, $d_3$													
Control delay		16.0	2.9	13.8	8.7		18.9		9.3				
Lane group LOS		B	A	B	A		B		A				
Approach delay		7.8			11.9			15.0					
Approach LOS		A			B			B					
Intersection delay		11.5			$X_c = 0.00$			Intersection LOS			B		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	NYS Route 52/Fair Street					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	7/9/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	No Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R		LT	
Volume, $V$ (vph)				260		116		740	261	72	717	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				0		0		3	3	3	3	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.86		0.86		0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Extension of effective green, $e$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3		3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		9.0		11.0	10.0		16.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	Y
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												20
Buses stopping, $N_b$				0		0		0	0		0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 55.0	G =	G =	G =	G =			
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y =			
Duration of Analysis, $T = 0.25$							Cycle Length, $C = 85.0$					
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, $v$				302		135		787	278		848	
Lane group capacity, $c$				411		342		1154	947		946	
$v/c$ ratio, $X$				0.73		0.39		0.68	0.29		0.90	
Total green ratio, $g/C$				0.24		0.24		0.65	0.65		0.65	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				30.0		27.4		9.5	6.5		12.6	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Delay calibration, $k$				0.29		0.11		0.25	0.11		0.42	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				6.7		0.8		1.7	0.2		11.1	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				36.8		28.2		11.1	6.7		23.7	
Lane group LOS				D		C		B	A		C	
Approach delay				34.1			10.0			23.7		
Approach LOS				C			A			C		
Intersection delay	19.4			$X_c = 0.85$			Intersection LOS			B		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	US Route 61 NYS Route 52					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	7/10/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	No Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				181		680		390	176	718	411	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		5	5	2	2	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.81		0.81		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_b$				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	SB Only	NS Perm	07	08				
Timing	G = 18.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 30.0	G = 37.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				223		840		433	196	798	457	
Lane group capacity, c				306		807		666	642	718	1348	
v/c ratio, X				0.73		1.04		0.65	0.31	1.11	0.34	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.18		0.53		0.37	0.37	0.72	0.72	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				38.7		23.5		26.1	22.4	19.8	5.2	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.29		0.50		0.23	0.11	0.50	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				8.5		42.8		2.2	0.3	68.5	0.2	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				47.2		66.3		28.4	22.6	88.3	5.3	
Lane group LOS				D		E		C	C	F	A	
Approach delay				62.3			26.6			58.1		
Approach LOS				E			C			E		
Intersection delay	52.9			$X_c = 1.20$			Intersection LOS			D		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>													
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>							
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/18/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/Stonelaigh Ave. Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Carmel Analysis Year No Build Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways							
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Lane group		LT			LT		L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume, V (vph)	175	117		395	106		59	441	207	216	459	200	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2		1	1		1	1	1	2	2	2	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93		0.91	0.91		0.95	0.95	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.88	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, I <sub>s</sub>		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT		3			3		3	3	3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0			0		60	0		60	
Lane width		16.0			16.0		10.0	14.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	-1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N	
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>													
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>		0			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>		3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	WB Only	03	04	NS Perm	Excl. Left	07	08					
Timing	G = 14.0	G = 23.0	G =	G =	G = 38.0	G = 5.0	G =	G =					
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =					
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Adjusted flow rate, v		314			550		62	464	155	245	522	159	
Lane group capacity, c		286			474		311	759	1050	390	711	827	
v/c ratio, X		1.10			1.16		0.20	0.61	0.15	0.63	0.73	0.19	
Total green ratio, g/C		0.14			0.23		0.48	0.38	0.66	0.48	0.38	0.52	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>		43.0			38.5		28.3	25.0	6.4	31.5	26.7	12.8	
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k		0.50			0.50		0.11	0.20	0.11	0.21	0.29	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>		82.0			93.4		0.3	1.5	0.1	3.2	4.0	0.1	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>													
Control delay		125.0			131.9		28.6	26.5	6.5	34.7	30.6	12.9	
Lane group LOS		F			F		C	C	A	C	C	B	
Approach delay	125.0			131.9			22.1			28.7			
Approach LOS	F			F			C			C			
Intersection delay	62.1			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			E			

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/2/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection Rt 6/John Simpson Road Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year No Build Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	138	805	12	116	739	229	12	56	114	237	44	97
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.95	0.95	0.95
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 60.0	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G = 23.0	G = 7.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 120.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	153	907		143	912	283		222		249	148	
Lane group capacity, c	282	1085		269	908	772		299		302	472	
v/c ratio, X	0.54	0.84		0.53	1.00	0.37		0.74		0.82	0.31	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.63	0.50		0.63	0.50	0.50		0.19		0.29	0.29	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	46.4	25.8		46.3	30.0	18.4		45.7		47.5	33.1	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.14	0.37		0.13	0.50	0.11		0.30		0.36	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	2.1	5.8		2.0	30.9	0.3		9.6		16.8	0.4	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	48.5	31.6		48.4	60.9	18.7		55.3		64.3	33.5	
Lane group LOS	D	C		D	E	B		E		E	C	
Approach delay	34.0			50.7			55.3			52.8		
Approach LOS	C			D			E			D		
Intersection delay	45.4			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			D		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 7/5/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year No Build Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R
Volume, V (vph)	852	304	0	1	323	120	3	2	0	111	4	758
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	1	1	1	3	3	3	0	0	0	2	2	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.85	0.85	0.85
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	EW Perm	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 35.0	G = 25.0	G =	G =	G = 15.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 90.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	957	342		1	380	141		8			136	892
Lane group capacity, c	805	1352		275	513	464		287			222	982
v/c ratio, X	1.19	0.25		0.00	0.74	0.30		0.03			0.61	0.91
Total green ratio, g/C	0.72	0.72		0.28	0.28	0.28		0.17			0.17	0.61
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	19.3	4.2		23.5	29.6	25.6		31.4			34.8	15.3
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.50	0.11		0.11	0.30	0.11		0.11			0.20	0.43
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	97.3	0.1		0.0	5.7	0.4		0.0			4.9	12.0
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	116.5	4.3		23.5	35.2	26.0		31.4			39.7	27.3
Lane group LOS	F	A		C	D	C		C			D	C
Approach delay	87.0			32.7			31.4			29.0		
Approach LOS	F			C			C			C		
Intersection delay	56.1			X <sub>c</sub> = 1.34			Intersection LOS			E		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
General Information				Site Information				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Fair Street/ Dale Road			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition			
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: Dale Road				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments								
Major Street	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	113	365	0	0	383	305		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.83	0.83		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	125	405	0	0	461	367		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	1	-	-	0	-	-		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
Minor Street	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	122	0	78		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.87	1.00	0.87		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	140	0	89		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L		R		
Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, v (vph)	125					140		89
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	808					151		475
v/c ratio	0.15					0.93		0.19
Queue length (95%)	0.55					6.59		0.68
Control Delay (s/veh)	10.3					113.5		14.3
LOS	B					F		B
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				75.0		
Approach LOS	--	--				F		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Access			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition			
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour							
Project Description <i>Gateways - Fairways</i>								
East/West Street: <i>Fair Street</i>				North/South Street: <i>Fairways Access</i>				
Intersection Orientation: <i>East-West</i>				Study Period (hrs): <i>0.25</i>				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	467	11	17	444	0		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.90	0.90	0.83	0.83	1.00		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	518	12	20	534	0		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0				0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration			TR	LT				
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	7	0	11	0	0	0		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	1.00	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	7	0	12	0	0	0		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Configuration		LR						
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration		LT		LR				
Volume, v (vph)		20		19				
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)		1048		368				
v/c ratio		0.02		0.05				
Queue length (95%)		0.06		0.16				
Control Delay (s/veh)		8.5		15.3				
LOS		A		C				
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--		15.3				
Approach LOS	--	--		C				

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
General Information				Site Information				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Church Street and US			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Route 6			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Town of Carmel			
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour			Build Condition				
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Church Street				North/South Street: US Route 6				
Intersection Orientation: North-South				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments								
Major Street	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	328	1016	0	0	821	7		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.94	0.94	1.00	1.00	0.92	0.92		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	348	1080	0	0	892	7		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	2	--	--	0	--	--		
Median Type	Undivided							
RT Channelized			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
Minor Street	Westbound			Eastbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	0	0	0	0	0	273		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.80		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	0	0	0	0	0	341		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Percent Grade (%)	0			-6				
Flared Approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Configuration						R		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service								
Approach	NB	SB	Westbound			Eastbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT							R
v (vph)	348							341
C (m) (vph)	756							341
v/c	0.46							1.00
95% queue length	2.44							11.31
Control Delay	13.8							84.5
LOS	B							F
Approach Delay	--	--				84.5		
Approach LOS	--	--				F		

Rights Reserved

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site (west)			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition			
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways								
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	56	1068	0	0	917	24		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	62	1186	0	0	986	25		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	27	0	55		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	28	0	57		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Configuration					LR			
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT						LR	
Volume, v (vph)	62						85	
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	694						94	
v/c ratio	0.09						0.90	
Queue length (95%)	0.29						5.11	
Control Delay (s/veh)	10.7						148.4	
LOS	B						F	
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--					148.4	
Approach LOS	--	--					F	

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>															
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>									
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	Fair St./John Simpson Rd.								
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas								
Date Performed	8/26/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel								
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Build Condition								
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways								
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>															
	EB			WB			NB			SB					
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT			
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0			
Lane group		T	R	L	T		L		R						
Volume, V (vph)		308	204	209	302		432		460						
% Heavy vehicles, %HV		0	0	2	2		1		1						
Peak-hour factor, PHF		0.83	0.83	0.82	0.82		0.88		0.88						
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)		P	P	P	P		P		P						
Start-up lost time, $l_i$		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0						
Extension of effective green, e		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0						
Arrival type, AT		3	3	3	3		3		3						
Unit extension, UE		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0						
Filtering/metering, I		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000						
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0						
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0				0		0	0					
Lane width		12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0		12.0		12.0						
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N		N			
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$															
Buses stopping, $N_B$		0	0	0	0		0		0						
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$		3.2				3.2				3.2					
Phasing	EW Perm	WB Only		03		04		NB Only		06		07		08	
Timing	G = 20.0	G = 5.0		G =		G =		G = 20.0		G =		G =		G =	
	Y = 5	Y = 5		Y =		Y =		Y = 5		Y =		Y =		Y =	
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 60.0								
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>															
	EB			WB			NB			SB					
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT			
Adjusted flow rate, v		371	246	255	368		491		523						
Lane group capacity, c		633	1211	498	932		596		800						
v/c ratio, X		0.59	0.20	0.51	0.39		0.82		0.65						
Total green ratio, g/C		0.33	0.75	0.50	0.50		0.33		0.50						
Uniform delay, $d_1$		16.6	2.2	16.0	9.3		18.4		11.1						
Progression factor, PF		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000						
Delay calibration, k		0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		0.50		0.50						
Incremental delay, $d_2$		3.9	0.4	3.7	1.3		12.2		4.1						
Initial queue delay, $d_3$															
Control delay		20.5	2.6	19.7	10.6		30.6		15.3						
Lane group LOS		C	A	B	B		C		B						
Approach delay		13.4			14.3			22.7							
Approach LOS		B			B			C							
Intersection delay		17.8			$X_c = 0.00$			Intersection LOS			B				

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst <b>JAG</b> Agency or Co. <b>TMA</b> Date Performed <b>8/26/04</b> Time Period <b>PM Peak Hour</b>						Intersection <b>NYS Route 52/Fair Street</b> Area Type <b>All other areas</b> Jurisdiction <b>Town of Carmel</b> Analysis Year <b>Build Condition</b> Project ID <b>Gateway Summit - The Fairways</b>						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R		LT	
Volume, $V$ (vph)				340		120		866	351	80	571	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				1		1		3	3	3	3	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.89		0.89		0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Extension of effective green, $e$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3		3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		9.0		11.0	10.0		14.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	Y
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												20
Buses stopping, $N_B$				0		0		0	0		0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 55.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, $T = 0.25$						Cycle Length, $C = 85.0$						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, $v$				382		135		941	382		708	
Lane group capacity, $c$				407		339		1154	947		773	
v/c ratio, $X$				0.94		0.40		0.82	0.40		0.92	
Total green ratio, $g/C$				0.24		0.24		0.65	0.65		0.65	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				31.9		27.4		11.2	7.2		13.0	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Delay calibration, $k$				0.45		0.11		0.36	0.11		0.43	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				29.5		0.8		4.6	0.3		15.6	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				61.4		28.2		15.9	7.4		28.6	
Lane group LOS				E		C		B	A		C	
Approach delay				52.8			13.4			28.6		
Approach LOS				D			B			C		
Intersection delay	25.6			$X_c = 0.92$			Intersection LOS			C		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	US Route 61 NYS Route 52					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	8/26/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				170		793		566	195	590	413	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		3	3	4	4	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.90		0.90		0.91	0.91	0.87	0.87	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_i$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_b$				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	SB Only	NS Perm	07	08				
Timing	G = 18.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 30.0	G = 37.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				189		881		622	214	678	475	
Lane group capacity, c				306		807		679	654	624	1322	
v/c ratio, X				0.62		1.09		0.92	0.33	1.09	0.36	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.18		0.53		0.37	0.37	0.72	0.72	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				37.8		23.5		30.0	22.6	25.5	5.3	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.20		0.50		0.43	0.11	0.50	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				3.8		59.6		17.3	0.3	61.8	0.2	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				41.6		83.1		47.3	22.9	87.2	5.5	
Lane group LOS				D		F		D	C	F	A	
Approach delay				75.8			41.0			53.5		
Approach LOS				E			D			D		
Intersection delay	57.9			$X_c = 1.26$			Intersection LOS			E		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	US Route 6/Main Access					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	8/26/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway -Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Lane group	L	T			TR					L		R
Volume, V (vph)	67	1028			836	61				69		105
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3			2	2				0		0
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92			0.97	0.97				0.95		0.95
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A			A	A				A		A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$	2.0	2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3			3					3		3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0			3.0					3.0		3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000			1.000					1.000	1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$	0.0	0.0			0.0					0.0		0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes				0		0	0			0		0
Lane width	12.0	12.0			12.0					12.0		12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N		N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$	0	0			0					0		0
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$					3.2			3.2			3.2	
Phasing	EW Perm	02	03	04	SB Only	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 40.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 60.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	73	1117			925					73		111
Lane group capacity, c	324	1230			1231					301		269
v/c ratio, X	0.23	0.91			0.75					0.24		0.41
Total green ratio, g/C	0.67	0.67			0.67					0.17		0.17
Uniform delay, $d_1$	3.9	8.4			6.7					21.7		22.4
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000			1.000					1.000		1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.11	0.43			0.31					0.11		0.11
Incremental delay, $d_2$	0.4	10.0			2.6					0.4		1.0
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay	4.3	18.4			9.3					22.1		23.4
Lane group LOS	A	B			A					C		C
Approach delay	17.6			9.3						22.9		
Approach LOS	B			A						C		
Intersection delay	14.7			$X_c = 0.81$			Intersection LOS			B		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>																
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>										
Analyst JAG						Intersection US Route 6/Stoneleigh Ave.										
Agency or Co. TMA						Area Type All other areas										
Date Performed 8/26/04						Jurisdiction Town of Carmel										
Time Period PM Peak Hour						Analysis Year Build Condition										
						Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways										
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>																
	EB			WB			NB			SB						
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT				
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1				
Lane group		LT			LT		L	T	R	L	T	R				
Volume, V (vph)	382	127		310	104		84	539	173	208	544	203				
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3		2	2		2	2	2	1	1	1				
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.88	0.88		0.88	0.88		0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88				
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A				
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				
Extension of effective green, e		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				
Arrival type, AT		3			3		3	3	3	3	3	3				
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0				
Filtering/metering, I		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000				
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0			0		50	0		58				
Lane width		16.0			16.0		10.0	14.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	12.0				
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	-1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N				
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>																
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>		0			0		0	0	0	0	0	0				
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>		3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	EB Only		WB Only		03		04		NS Perm		Excl. Left		07		08	
Timing	G = 25.0		G = 20.0		G =		G =		G = 30.0		G = 5.0		G =		G =	
	Y = 5		Y = 5		Y =		Y =		Y = 5		Y = 5		Y =		Y =	
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25										Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>																
	EB			WB			NB			SB						
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT				
Adjusted flow rate, v		578			470		95	613	140	236	618	165				
Lane group capacity, c		501			409		236	593	473	264	567	884				
v/c ratio, X		1.15			1.15		0.40	1.03	0.30	0.89	1.09	0.19				
Total green ratio, g/C		0.25			0.20		0.40	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.30	0.55				
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>		37.5			40.0		39.1	35.0	26.9	42.2	35.0	11.3				
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000				
Delay calibration, k		0.50			0.50		0.11	0.50	0.11	0.42	0.50	0.11				
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>		89.9			91.9		1.1	45.9	0.4	29.6	64.6	0.1				
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>																
Control delay		127.4			131.9		40.3	80.9	27.2	71.8	99.6	11.4				
Lane group LOS		F			F		D	F	C	E	F	B				
Approach delay		127.4			131.9			67.5			78.9					
Approach LOS		F			F			E			E					
Intersection delay		93.7			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			F					

HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT												
General Information						Site Information						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	Rt 6/John Simpson Road					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	8/26/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Southeast					
Time Period	PM Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	248	875	6	172	795	415	8	167	179	246	70	114
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 50.0	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G = 33.0	G = 7.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 120.0						
Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	279	990		181	837	437		398		289	216	
Lane group capacity, c	282	905		265	742	631		440		300	601	
v/c ratio, X	0.99	1.09		0.68	1.13	0.69		0.90		0.96	0.36	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.54	0.42		0.54	0.42	0.42		0.28		0.38	0.38	
Uniform delay, $d_1$	50.3	35.0		47.6	35.0	28.7		42.0		48.1	27.1	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.49	0.50		0.25	0.50	0.26		0.43		0.47	0.11	
Incremental delay, $d_2$	50.5	58.9		7.1	74.2	3.3		21.9		42.0	0.4	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay	100.8	93.9		54.7	109.2	32.0		63.8		90.1	27.5	
Lane group LOS	F	F		D	F	C		E		F	C	
Approach delay	95.4			79.2			63.8			63.3		
Approach LOS	F			E			E			E		
Intersection delay	81.0			$X_c = 0.00$			Intersection LOS			F		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>																
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>										
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 8/26/04 Time Period PM Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/ NYS Route 312 Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year Build Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways										
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>																
	EB			WB			NB			SB						
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT				
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1				
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R				
Volume, V (vph)	878	425	8	1	451	186	2	20	2	150	2	949				
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3	3	6	6	6	14	14	14	2	2	2				
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.91	0.91	0.91				
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A				
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0				
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0				
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3				
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0				
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000				
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0				
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0				
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0				
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N				
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>																
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0				
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2						
Phasing	EB Only		EW Perm		03		04		NS Perm		06		07		08	
Timing	G = 35.0		G = 30.0		G =		G =		G = 15.0		G =		G =		G =	
	Y = 5		Y = 5		Y =		Y =		Y = 5		Y =		Y =		Y =	
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 95.0										
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>																
	EB			WB			NB			SB						
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT				
Adjusted flow rate, v	905	446		1	557	230		61			167	1043				
Lane group capacity, c	697	1348		276	566	513		252			203	930				
v/c ratio, X	1.30	0.33		0.00	0.98	0.45		0.24			0.82	1.12				
Total green ratio, g/C	0.74	0.74		0.32	0.32	0.32		0.16			0.16	0.58				
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	25.2	4.3		22.3	32.3	25.9		35.0			38.7	20.0				
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000				
Delay calibration, k	0.50	0.11		0.11	0.49	0.11		0.11			0.36	0.50				
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	144.7	0.1		0.0	33.7	0.6		0.5			23.1	68.9				
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>																
Control delay	169.9	4.5		22.3	65.9	26.5		35.5			61.8	88.9				
Lane group LOS	F	A		C	E	C		D			E	F				
Approach delay	115.3			54.4			35.5			85.1						
Approach LOS	F			D			D			F						
Intersection delay	89.1			X <sub>c</sub> = 2.16			Intersection LOS			F						

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Fair Street/ Dale Road			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition Proposed			
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: Dale Road				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	81	260	0	0	266	149		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.82		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	99	320	0	0	324	181		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0				0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT						TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	144	0	97		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.83	1.00	0.83		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	173	0	116		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0				0	
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L		R		
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, v (vph)	99					173		116
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	1070					270		640
v/c ratio	0.09					0.64		0.18
Queue length (95%)	0.31					4.02		0.66
Control Delay (s/veh)	8.7					39.4		11.9
LOS	A					E		B
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				28.3		
Approach LOS	--	--				D		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Access			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition			
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description: Gateways - Fairways								
East/West Street: Fair Street				North/South Street: Fairways Access				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	327	9	14	349	0		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	0.90	0.90	0.83	0.83	1.00		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	363	10	16	420	0		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	-	-	0	-	-		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration			TR	LT				
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	9	0	14	0	0	0		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	1.00	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	10	0	15	0	0	0		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Configuration		LR						
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration		LT		LR				
Volume, v (vph)		16		25				
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)		1197		488				
v/c ratio		0.01		0.05				
Queue length (95%)		0.04		0.16				
Control Delay (s/veh)		8.0		12.8				
LOS		A		B				
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--	12.8					
Approach LOS	--	--	B					

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
General Information				Site Information				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	Church Street and US Route 6			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition			
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit-The Fairways								
East/West Street: Church Street				North/South Street: US Route 6				
Intersection Orientation: North-South				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments								
Major Street	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	220	863	0	0	930	7		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	226	889	0	0	999	7		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	2	--	--	0	--	--		
Median Type	Undivided							
RT Channelized			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
Minor Street	Westbound			Eastbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume	0	0	0	0	0	346		
Peak-Hour Factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.93		
Hourly Flow Rate, HFR	0	0	0	0	0	372		
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Percent Grade (%)	0			-6				
Flared Approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Configuration						R		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service								
Approach	NB	SB	Westbound			Eastbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT							R
v (vph)	226							372
C (m) (vph)	689							296
v/c	0.33							1.26
95% queue length	1.43							17.48
Control Delay	12.8							176.0
LOS	B							F
Approach Delay	--	--				176.0		
Approach LOS	--	--				F		

Rights Reserved

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY							
General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site (west)		
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition		
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour						
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways							
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site			
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments							
Major Street	Eastbound			Westbound			
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	79	1014	0	0	905	34	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.89	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	84	1090	0	0	1016	38	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--	
Median type	Undivided						
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Configuration	LT					TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0		
Minor Street	Northbound			Southbound			
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	L	T	R	L	T	R	
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	32	0	64	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	33	0	67	
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent grade (%)	0			0			
Flared approach		N			N		
Storage		0			0		
RT Channelized?			0			0	
Lanes	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Configuration					LR		
Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service							
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound	
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11
Lane Configuration	LT						LR
Volume, v (vph)	84						100
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	668						91
v/c ratio	0.13						1.10
Queue length (95%)	0.43						6.71
Control Delay (s/veh)	11.2						208.4
LOS	B						F
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--					208.4
Approach LOS	--	--					F

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>													
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>							
Analyst <b>JAG</b> Agency or Co. <b>TMA</b> Date Performed <b>8/26/04</b> Time Period <b>Saturday Peak Hour</b>						Intersection <b>Fair St./John Simpson Rd.</b> Area Type <b>All other areas</b> Jurisdiction <b>Town of Carmel</b> Analysis Year <b>Build Condition</b> Project ID <b>Gateway Summit - The Fairways</b>							
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Lane group		T	R	L	T		L		R				
Volume, V (vph)		153	271	232	133		275		192				
% Heavy vehicles, %HV		4	4	4	4		2		2				
Peak-hour factor, PHF		0.84	0.84	0.77	0.77		0.90		0.90				
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)		P	P	P	P		P		P				
Start-up lost time, $l_i$		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0				
Extension of effective green, e		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0				
Arrival type, AT		3	3	3	3		3		3				
Unit extension, UE		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0				
Filtering/metering, I		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000				
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0				
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0				0		0	0			
Lane width		12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0		12.0		12.0				
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N		N	
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$													
Buses stopping, $N_b$		0	0	0	0		0		0				
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$		3.2				3.2				3.2			
Phasing	EW Perm	WB Only	03	04	NB Only	06	07	08					
Timing	G = 20.0	G = 5.0	G =	G =	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =					
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =					
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 60.0							
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>													
	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	
Adjusted flow rate, v		182	323	301	173		306		213				
Lane group capacity, c		609	1165	632	914		590		792				
v/c ratio, X		0.30	0.28	0.48	0.19		0.52		0.27				
Total green ratio, g/C		0.33	0.75	0.50	0.50		0.33		0.50				
Uniform delay, $d_1$		14.8	2.4	11.8	8.3		16.1		8.7				
Progression factor, PF		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000				
Delay calibration, k		0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		0.50		0.50				
Incremental delay, $d_2$		1.3	0.6	2.6	0.5		3.2		0.8				
Initial queue delay, $d_3$													
Control delay		16.1	3.0	14.4	8.7		19.4		9.5				
Lane group LOS		B	A	B	A		B		A				
Approach delay		7.7			12.3			15.3					
Approach LOS		A			B			B					
Intersection delay		11.8			$X_c = 0.00$			Intersection LOS			B		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	NYS Route 52/Fair Street					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	8/26/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R		LT	
Volume, $V$ (vph)				264		120		759	261	72	739	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				0		0		3	3	3	3	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.86		0.86		0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, $l_1$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Extension of effective green, $e$				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3		3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		9.0		11.0	10.0		16.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	0	N	N	0	N	N	0	Y
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												20
Buses stopping, $N_B$				0		0		0	0		0	
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 20.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 55.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, $T = 0.25$							Cycle Length, $C = 85.0$					
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, $v$				307		140		807	278		872	
Lane group capacity, $c$				411		342		1154	947		946	
$v/c$ ratio, $X$				0.75		0.41		0.70	0.29		0.92	
Total green ratio, $g/C$				0.24		0.24		0.65	0.65		0.65	
Uniform delay, $d_1$				30.2		27.5		9.7	6.5		13.1	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000		1.000	
Delay calibration, $k$				0.30		0.11		0.27	0.11		0.44	
Incremental delay, $d_2$				7.4		0.8		1.9	0.2		14.1	
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay				37.5		28.3		11.6	6.7		27.2	
Lane group LOS				D		C		B	A		C	
Approach delay				34.6			10.3			27.2		
Approach LOS				C			B			C		
Intersection delay	21.0			$X_c = 0.88$			Intersection LOS			C		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 8/27/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Carmel Analysis Year Build Condition Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				200		718		392	224	761	443	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		5	5	2	2	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.81		0.81		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, I <sub>s</sub>				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only		02	03	04	SB Only		NS Perm		07	08	
Timing	G = 18.0		G =	G =	G =	G = 30.0		G = 37.0		G =	G =	
	Y = 5		Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5		Y = 5		Y =	Y =	
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 100.0					
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				247		886		436	249	846	492	
Lane group capacity, c				306		807		666	642	716	1348	
v/c ratio, X				0.81		1.10		0.65	0.39	1.18	0.36	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.18		0.53		0.37	0.37	0.72	0.72	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>				39.3		23.5		26.2	23.2	19.9	5.3	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.35		0.50		0.23	0.11	0.50	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>				14.7		61.9		2.3	0.4	95.7	0.2	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay				54.1		85.4		28.5	23.6	115.6	5.5	
Lane group LOS				D		F		C	C	F	A	
Approach delay				78.5			26.7			75.1		
Approach LOS				E			C			E		
Intersection delay	65.8			X <sub>c</sub> = 1.34			Intersection LOS			E		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	US Route 6/Main Access					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	8/26/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel					
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway -Fairways					
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Lane group	L	T			TR					L		R
Volume, V (vph)	81	965			864	67				62		75
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	0	0			1	1				0		0
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.98	0.98			0.94	0.94				0.95		0.95
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A			A	A				A		A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$	2.0	2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3			3					3		3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0			3.0					3.0		3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000			1.000					1.000	1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$	0.0	0.0			0.0					0.0		0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes				0		0	0			0		0
Lane width	11.0	12.0			12.0					12.0		12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N			N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$	0	0			0					0		0
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$				3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	02	03	04	SB Only	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 40.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 60.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	83	985			990					65		79
Lane group capacity, c	297	1267			1242					301		269
v/c ratio, X	0.28	0.78			0.80					0.22		0.29
Total green ratio, g/C	0.67	0.67			0.67					0.17		0.17
Uniform delay, $d_1$	4.1	6.9			7.1					21.6		21.9
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000			1.000					1.000		1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.11	0.33			0.34					0.11		0.11
Incremental delay, $d_2$	0.5	3.1			3.7					0.4		0.6
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay	4.6	10.1			10.9					22.0		22.5
Lane group LOS	A	B			B					C		C
Approach delay	9.6			10.9						22.3		
Approach LOS	A			B						C		
Intersection delay	11.0			$X_c = 0.70$			Intersection LOS			B		

**HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT**

General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Stoneleigh Ave.		
Agency or Co.	TMA			Area Type	All other areas		
Date Performed	8/26/04			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour			Analysis Year	Build Condition		
				Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways		

Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lane group		LT			LT		L	T	R	L	T	R
Volume, V (vph)	175	117		397	106		69	532	209	216	562	201
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2		1	1		1	1	1	2	2	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93		0.91	0.91		0.95	0.95	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.88
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A		A	A		A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, I <sub>1</sub>		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, e		2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT		3			3		3	3	3	3	3	3
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, I		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>		0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0			0		60	0		60
Lane width		16.0			16.0		10.0	14.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	-1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>		0			0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>		3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2	
Phasing	EB Only	WB Only	03	04	NS Perm	Excl. Left	07	08				
Timing	G = 14.0	G = 23.0	G =	G =	G = 38.0	G = 5.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 100.0					

Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v		314			552		73	560	157	245	639	160
Lane group capacity, c		286			474		238	759	1050	316	711	827
v/c ratio, X		1.10			1.16		0.31	0.74	0.15	0.78	0.90	0.19
Total green ratio, g/C		0.14			0.23		0.48	0.38	0.66	0.48	0.38	0.52
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>		43.0			38.5		36.3	26.7	6.4	37.5	29.2	12.8
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, k		0.50			0.50		0.11	0.30	0.11	0.32	0.42	0.11
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>		82.0			95.0		0.7	3.8	0.1	11.5	14.4	0.1
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay		125.0			133.5		37.0	30.5	6.5	48.9	43.6	12.9
Lane group LOS		F			F		D	C	A	D	D	B
Approach delay	125.0			133.5			26.3			40.1		
Approach LOS	F			F			C			D		
Intersection delay	65.1			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			E		

HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT												
General Information						Site Information						
Analyst	JAG					Intersection	Rt 6/John Simpson Road					
Agency or Co.	TMA					Area Type	All other areas					
Date Performed	8/26/04					Jurisdiction	Town of Southeast					
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour					Analysis Year	Build Condition					
						Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways					
Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	157	858	12	116	801	238	12	56	114	246	44	118
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.95	0.95	0.95
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 60.0	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G = 23.0	G = 7.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 120.0						
Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	174	966		143	989	294		222		259	170	
Lane group capacity, c	282	1085		269	908	772		298		302	469	
v/c ratio, X	0.62	0.89		0.53	1.09	0.38		0.74		0.86	0.36	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.63	0.50		0.63	0.50	0.50		0.19		0.29	0.29	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	47.0	27.0		46.3	30.0	18.5		45.7		47.8	33.7	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.20	0.41		0.13	0.50	0.11		0.30		0.39	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	4.0	9.4		2.0	57.1	0.3		9.8		21.0	0.5	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	51.0	36.4		48.4	87.1	18.8		55.5		68.9	34.1	
Lane group LOS	D	D		D	F	B		E		E	C	
Approach delay	38.6			69.2			55.5			55.1		
Approach LOS	D			E			E			E		
Intersection delay	55.5			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			E		

**HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT**

General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG	Intersection	US Route 6/ NYS Route 312				
Agency or Co.	TMA	Area Type	All other areas				
Date Performed	8/26/04	Jurisdiction	Town of Southeast				
Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour	Analysis Year	Build Condition				
		Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways				

Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R
Volume, V (vph)	890	328	0	1	350	120	3	2	0	111	4	802
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	1	1	1	3	3	3	0	0	0	2	2	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.85	0.85	0.85
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	EW Perm	03	04	NS Perm	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 35.0	G = 25.0	G =	G =	G = 15.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 90.0					

Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	1000	369		1	412	141		8			136	944
Lane group capacity, c	781	1352		268	513	464		287			222	982
v/c ratio, X	1.28	0.27		0.00	0.80	0.30		0.03			0.61	0.96
Total green ratio, g/C	0.72	0.72		0.28	0.28	0.28		0.17			0.17	0.61
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	20.6	4.3		23.5	30.2	25.6		31.4			34.8	16.5
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.50	0.11		0.11	0.35	0.11		0.11			0.20	0.47
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	136.0	0.1		0.0	9.0	0.4		0.0			4.9	19.9
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	156.6	4.4		23.5	39.2	26.0		31.4			39.7	36.4
Lane group LOS	F	A		C	D	C		C			D	D
Approach delay	115.6			35.8			31.4			36.9		
Approach LOS	F			D			C			D		
Intersection delay	72.4			X <sub>c</sub> = 1.67			Intersection LOS			E		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site (west)			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition 2 exiting lane			
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways								
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	56	1068	0	0	917	24		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	62	1186	0	0	986	25		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, $P_{HV}$	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	27	0	55		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	28	0	57		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, $P_{HV}$	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L		R		
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, $v$ (vph)	62					28		57
Capacity, $c_m$ (vph)	694					39		299
$v/c$ ratio	0.09					0.72		0.19
Queue length (95%)	0.29					2.63		0.69
Control Delay (s/veh)	10.7					217.8		19.9
LOS	B					F		C
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				85.1		
Approach LOS	--	--				F		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>					<b>Site Information</b>			
Analyst	JAG				Intersection	US Route 6/Site (west)		
Agency/Co.	TMA				Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel		
Date Performed	8/26/04				Analysis Year	Build Condition 2 exiting lane		
Analysis Time Period	PM Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways								
East/West Street: US Route 6					North/South Street: Site			
Intersection Orientation: East-West					Study Period (hrs): 0.25			
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	56	1068	0	0	917	24		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	62	1186	0	0	986	25		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT					TR		
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	27	0	55		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	28	0	57		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L		R		
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, v (vph)	62					28		57
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	694					39		299
v/c ratio	0.09					0.72		0.19
Queue length (95%)	0.29					2.63		0.69
Control Delay (s/veh)	10.7					217.8		19.9
LOS	B					F		C
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				85.1		
Approach LOS	--	--				F		

**HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT**

General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG	Intersection	US Route 6/ NYS Route 52				
Agency or Co.	TMA	Area Type	All other areas				
Date Performed	8/26/04	Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel				
Time Period	PM Peak Hour	Analysis Year	Build diverted trips				
		Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways				

Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				170		793		566	295	590	413	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		3	3	4	4	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.90		0.90		0.91	0.91	0.87	0.87	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, l				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	SB Only	NS Perm	07	08				
Timing	G = 15.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 37.0	G = 33.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 100.0					

Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				189		881		622	324	678	475	
Lane group capacity, c				255		868		606	583	697	1377	
v/c ratio, X				0.74		1.01		1.03	0.56	0.97	0.34	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.15		0.57		0.33	0.33	0.75	0.75	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>				40.6		21.5		33.5	27.5	25.8	4.2	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.30		0.50		0.50	0.15	0.48	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>				11.0		34.3		43.5	1.2	27.3	0.2	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay				51.7		55.8		77.0	28.7	53.1	4.4	
Lane group LOS				D		E		E	C	D	A	
Approach delay				55.1			60.4			33.0		
Approach LOS				E			E			C		
Intersection delay	48.7			X <sub>c</sub> = 1.02			Intersection LOS			D		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst <b>JAG</b> Agency or Co. <b>TMA</b> Date Performed <b>8/26/04</b> Time Period <b>PM Peak Hour</b>						Intersection <b>Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Rd.</b> Area Type <b>All other areas</b> Jurisdiction <b>Town of Carmel</b> Analysis Year <b>Build with Signal</b> Project ID <b>Gateway - Fairways</b>						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Lane group		LT			TR					L		R
Volume, V (vph)	113	365			383	305				122		78
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	1	1			0	0				1		1
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90			0.83	0.83				0.87		0.87
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	P	P			P	P				A		A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$		2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Extension of effective green, e		2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Arrival type, AT		3			3					3		3
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0					3.0		3.0
Filtering/metering, I		1.000			1.000					1.000	1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$		0.0			0.0					0.0		0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes				0		0	0			0		0
Lane width		11.0			11.0					12.0		13.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N			N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$		0			0					0		0
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$					3.2				3.2			3.2
Phasing	EW Perm	02	03	04	SB Only	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 40.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 60.0					
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v		532			828					140		90
Lane group capacity, c		829			1151					298		275
v/c ratio, X		0.64			0.72					0.47		0.33
Total green ratio, g/C		0.67			0.67					0.17		0.17
Uniform delay, $d_1$		5.8			6.4					22.6		22.0
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000					1.000		1.000
Delay calibration, k		0.50			0.50					0.11		0.11
Incremental delay, $d_2$		3.8			3.9					1.2		0.7
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay		9.6			10.3					23.8		22.7
Lane group LOS		A			B					C		C
Approach delay		9.6			10.3					23.4		
Approach LOS		A			B					C		
Intersection delay		12.0			$X_c = 0.67$				Intersection LOS			B

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 8/26/04 Time Period PM Peak Hour						Intersection Rt 6/John Simpson Road Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year Build Condition retiming Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	248	875	6	172	795	415	8	167	179	246	70	114
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>s</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 44.0	G = 8.0	G =	G =	G = 22.0	G = 6.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	279	990		181	837	437		398		289	216	
Lane group capacity, c	303	956		285	784	666		352		261	529	
v/c ratio, X	0.92	1.04		0.64	1.07	0.66		1.13		1.11	0.41	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.57	0.44		0.57	0.44	0.44		0.22		0.33	0.33	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	40.7	28.0		38.6	28.0	22.0		39.0		42.3	25.9	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.44	0.50		0.22	0.50	0.23		0.50		0.50	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	31.9	38.7		4.6	51.7	2.4		88.3		87.5	0.5	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	72.7	66.7		43.2	79.7	24.4		127.3		129.9	26.5	
Lane group LOS	E	E		D	E	C		F		F	C	
Approach delay	68.0			58.6			127.3			85.6		
Approach LOS	E			E			F			F		
Intersection delay	73.2			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			E		

**HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT**

General Information				Site Information			
Analyst	JAG	Intersection	US Route 6/ NYS Route 312				
Agency or Co.	TMA	Area Type	All other areas				
Date Performed	8/26/04	Jurisdiction	Town of Southeast				
Time Period	PM Peak Hour	Analysis Year	Build Condition retiming				
		Project ID	Gateway Summit - The Fairways				

Volume and Timing Input												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R
Volume, V (vph)	878	425	8	1	451	186	2	20	2	150	2	949
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	3	3	3	6	6	6	14	14	14	2	2	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.91	0.91	0.91
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>0</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EB Only	EW Perm	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 56.0	G = 33.0	G =	G =	G = 7.0	G = 4.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 120.0					

Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	905	446		1	557	230		61			167	1043
Lane group capacity, c	847	1434		240	493	501		60			204	1031
v/c ratio, X	1.07	0.31		0.00	1.13	0.46		1.02			0.82	1.01
Total green ratio, g/C	0.78	0.78		0.28	0.28	0.31		0.06			0.13	0.64
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	28.1	3.7		31.6	43.5	33.4		56.5			50.6	21.5
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000
Delay calibration, k	0.50	0.11		0.11	0.50	0.11		0.50			0.36	0.50
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	50.9	0.1		0.0	81.3	0.7		121.0			22.4	30.9
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	79.0	3.8		31.6	124.8	34.1		177.5			73.0	52.4
Lane group LOS	E	A		C	F	C		F			E	D
Approach delay	54.2			98.2			177.5			55.3		
Approach LOS	D			F			F			E		
Intersection delay	66.9			X <sub>c</sub> = 1.34			Intersection LOS			E		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
General Information				Site Information				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site (west)			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition			
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways								
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments								
Major Street	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	79	1014	0	0	905	34		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.89		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	84	1090	0	0	1016	38		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT						TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0			
Minor Street	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	32	0	64		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	33	0	67		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0			0		
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L		R		
Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, v (vph)	84					33		67
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	668					38		284
v/c ratio	0.13					0.87		0.24
Queue length (95%)	0.43					3.22		0.90
Control Delay (s/veh)	11.2					264.8		21.5
LOS	B					F		C
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				101.8		
Approach LOS	--	--				F		

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY								
<b>General Information</b>				<b>Site Information</b>				
Analyst	JAG			Intersection	US Route 6/Site (west)			
Agency/Co.	TMA			Jurisdiction	Town of Carmel			
Date Performed	8/26/04			Analysis Year	Build Condition 2 exit lanes			
Analysis Time Period	Saturday Peak Hour							
Project Description Gateway Summit- The Fairways								
East/West Street: US Route 6				North/South Street: Site				
Intersection Orientation: East-West				Study Period (hrs): 0.25				
<b>Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments</b>								
<b>Major Street</b>	Eastbound			Westbound				
Movement	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	79	1014	0	0	905	34		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.89		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	84	1090	0	0	1016	38		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	--	--	0	--	--		
Median type	Undivided							
RT Channelized?			0				0	
Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Configuration	LT						TR	
Upstream Signal		0			0			
<b>Minor Street</b>	Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	7	8	9	10	11	12		
	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	32	0	64		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95		
Hourly Flow Rate (veh/h)	0	0	0	33	0	67		
Proportion of heavy vehicles, P <sub>HV</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent grade (%)	0			0				
Flared approach		N			N			
Storage		0			0			
RT Channelized?			0				0	
Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Configuration				L			R	
<b>Control Delay, Queue Length, Level of Service</b>								
Approach	EB	WB	Northbound			Southbound		
Movement	1	4	7	8	9	10	11	12
Lane Configuration	LT					L		R
Volume, v (vph)	84					33		67
Capacity, c <sub>m</sub> (vph)	668					38		284
v/c ratio	0.13					0.87		0.24
Queue length (95%)	0.43					3.22		0.90
Control Delay (s/veh)	11.2					264.8		21.5
LOS	B					F		C
Approach delay (s/veh)	--	--				101.8		
Approach LOS	--	--				F		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 8/26/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection US Route 6/ NYS Route 52 Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Carmel Analysis Year Build Condition diversion Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
Lane group				L		R		T	R	L	T	
Volume, V (vph)				200		718		392	434	761	443	
% Heavy vehicles, %HV				2		2		5	5	2	2	
Peak-hour factor, PHF				0.81		0.81		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)				A		A		A	A	A	A	
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e				2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT				3		3		3	3	3	3	
Unit extension, UE				3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I				1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>				0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0			0		0	0		0			
Lane width				11.0		11.0		12.0	16.0	11.0	12.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N		N	N	1	N	N	1	N	N	-1	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>b</sub>				0		0		0	0	0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2					
Phasing	WB Only	02	03	04	SB Only	NS Perm	07	08				
Timing	G = 18.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 42.0	G = 25.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 100.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v				247		886		436	482	846	492	
Lane group capacity, c				306		990		450	832	794	1348	
v/c ratio, X				0.81		0.89		0.97	0.58	1.07	0.36	
Total green ratio, g/C				0.18		0.65		0.25	0.48	0.72	0.72	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>				39.3		14.6		37.1	18.7	24.3	5.3	
Progression factor, PF				1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k				0.35		0.42		0.48	0.17	0.50	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>				14.7		10.6		34.3	1.0	50.8	0.2	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay				54.1		25.2		71.4	19.7	75.1	5.5	
Lane group LOS				D		C		E	B	E	A	
Approach delay				31.5			44.3			49.5		
Approach LOS				C			D			D		
Intersection delay	42.1			X <sub>c</sub> = 1.15			Intersection LOS			D		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst <b>JAG</b> Agency or Co. <b>TMA</b> Date Performed <b>8/26/04</b> Time Period <b>Saturday Peak Hour</b>						Intersection <b>Fair Street/ Hill and Dale Rd.</b> Area Type <b>All other areas</b> Jurisdiction <b>Town of Carmel</b> Analysis Year <b>Build with Signal</b> Project ID <b>Gateway - Fairways</b>						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, $N_i$	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Lane group		LT			TR					L		R
Volume, V (vph)	81	260			266	149				144		97
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	0	0			1	1				1		1
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81			0.82	0.82				0.83		0.83
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	P	P			P	P				A		A
Start-up lost time, $l_i$		2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Extension of effective green, e		2.0			2.0					2.0		2.0
Arrival type, AT		3			3					3		3
Unit extension, UE		3.0			3.0					3.0		3.0
Filtering/metering, I		1.000			1.000					1.000	1.000	1.000
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$		0.0			0.0					0.0		0.0
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes				0		0	0			0		0
Lane width		11.0			11.0					12.0		13.0
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	0	N	N	0	N	N			N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$												
Buses stopping, $N_B$		0			0					0		0
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$				3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	02	03	04	SB Only	06	07	08				
Timing	G = 40.0	G =	G =	G =	G = 10.0	G =	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25							Cycle Length, C = 60.0					
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v		421			506					173		117
Lane group capacity, c		986			1153					298		275
v/c ratio, X		0.43			0.44					0.58		0.43
Total green ratio, g/C		0.67			0.67					0.17		0.17
Uniform delay, $d_1$		4.7			4.7					23.1		22.4
Progression factor, PF		1.000			1.000					1.000		1.000
Delay calibration, k		0.50			0.50					0.17		0.11
Incremental delay, $d_2$		1.4			1.2					2.8		1.1
Initial queue delay, $d_3$												
Control delay		6.0			5.9					25.9		23.5
Lane group LOS		A			A					C		C
Approach delay	6.0			5.9						24.9		
Approach LOS	A			A						C		
Intersection delay	10.5			$X_c = 0.47$			Intersection LOS			B		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>												
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>						
Analyst JAG Agency or Co. TMA Date Performed 8/26/04 Time Period Saturday Peak Hour						Intersection Rt 6/John Simpson Road Area Type All other areas Jurisdiction Town of Southeast Analysis Year Build Condition retiming Project ID Gateway Summit - The Fairways						
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Number of lanes, N <sub>i</sub>	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR		L	TR	
Volume, V (vph)	157	858	12	116	801	238	12	56	114	246	44	118
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.95	0.95	0.95
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Start-up lost time, l <sub>i</sub>	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0	
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	3	
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0	
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Initial unmet demand, Q <sub>b</sub>	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Lane width	11.0	16.0		11.0	12.0	12.0		11.0		11.0	11.0	
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	-6	N	N	5	N	N	6	N	N	0	N
Parking maneuvers, N <sub>m</sub>												
Buses stopping, N <sub>B</sub>	0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0	
Min. time for pedestrians, G <sub>p</sub>	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2		
Phasing	EW Perm	Excl. Left	03	04	NS Perm	SB Only	07	08				
Timing	G = 47.0	G = 3.0	G =	G =	G = 16.0	G = 3.0	G =	G =				
	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =	Y = 5	Y = 5	Y =	Y =				
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 89.0						
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>												
	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT
Adjusted flow rate, v	174	966		143	989	294		222		259	170	
Lane group capacity, c	241	1146		231	959	815		276		282	434	
v/c ratio, X	0.72	0.84		0.62	1.03	0.36		0.80		0.92	0.39	
Total green ratio, g/C	0.62	0.53		0.62	0.53	0.53		0.18		0.27	0.27	
Uniform delay, d <sub>1</sub>	36.8	17.9		36.3	21.0	12.2		35.0		36.7	26.5	
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000		1.000	1.000	
Delay calibration, k	0.28	0.38		0.20	0.50	0.11		0.35		0.44	0.11	
Incremental delay, d <sub>2</sub>	10.2	5.9		5.0	37.4	0.3		15.8		33.0	0.6	
Initial queue delay, d <sub>3</sub>												
Control delay	47.0	23.7		41.3	58.4	12.5		50.8		69.7	27.1	
Lane group LOS	D	C		D	E	B		D		E	C	
Approach delay	27.3			47.2			50.8			52.8		
Approach LOS	C			D			D			D		
Intersection delay	41.1			X <sub>c</sub> = 0.00			Intersection LOS			D		

<b>HCS2000™ DETAILED REPORT</b>																
<b>General Information</b>						<b>Site Information</b>										
Analyst <b>JAG</b> Agency or Co. <b>TMA</b> Date Performed <b>8/26/04</b> Time Period <b>Saturday Peak Hour</b>						Intersection <b>US Route 6/ NYS Route 312</b> Area Type <b>All other areas</b> Jurisdiction <b>Town of Southeast</b> Analysis Year <b>Build Condition with retiming</b> Project ID <b>Gateway Summit - The Fairways</b>										
<b>Volume and Timing Input</b>																
	EB			WB			NB			SB						
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT				
Number of lanes, $N_i$	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1				
Lane group	L	TR		L	T	R		LTR			LT	R				
Volume, V (vph)	890	328	0	1	350	120	3	2	0	111	4	802				
% Heavy vehicles, %HV	1	1	1	3	3	3	0	0	0	2	2	2				
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.85	0.85	0.85				
Pretimed (P) or actuated (A)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A				
Start-up lost time, $l_s$	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0				
Extension of effective green, e	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0			2.0	2.0				
Arrival type, AT	3	3		3	3	3		3			3	3				
Unit extension, UE	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	3.0				
Filtering/metering, I	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000				
Initial unmet demand, $Q_b$	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0				
Ped / Bike / RTOR volumes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0				
Lane width	11.0	12.0		11.0	12.0	14.0		14.0			11.0	12.0				
Parking / Grade / Parking	N	1	N	N	0	N	N	1	N	N	-3	N				
Parking maneuvers, $N_m$																
Buses stopping, $N_B$	0	0		0	0	0		0			0	0				
Min. time for pedestrians, $G_p$	3.2			3.2			3.2			3.2						
Phasing	EB Only		EW Perm		03		04		SB Only		NS Perm		07		08	
Timing	G = 55.0		G = 26.0		G =		G =		G = 5.0		G = 4.0		G =		G =	
	Y = 5		Y = 5		Y =		Y =		Y = 5		Y = 5		Y =		Y =	
Duration of Analysis, T = 0.25						Cycle Length, C = 110.0										
<b>Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination</b>																
	EB			WB			NB			SB						
	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT	LT	TH	RT				
Adjusted flow rate, v	1000	369		1	412	141		8			136	944				
Lane group capacity, c	926	1464		228	436	547		60			185	1081				
v/c ratio, X	1.08	0.25		0.00	0.94	0.26		0.13			0.74	0.87				
Total green ratio, g/C	0.78	0.78		0.24	0.24	0.33		0.04			0.13	0.67				
Uniform delay, $d_1$	23.7	3.3		32.1	41.3	27.2		51.3			46.2	14.3				
Progression factor, PF	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000			1.000	1.000				
Delay calibration, k	0.50	0.11		0.11	0.46	0.11		0.11			0.29	0.40				
Incremental delay, $d_2$	53.6	0.1		0.0	29.5	0.3		1.0			14.2	8.1				
Initial queue delay, $d_3$																
Control delay	77.2	3.4		32.1	70.8	27.4		52.3			60.4	22.3				
Lane group LOS	E	A		C	E	C		D			E	C				
Approach delay	57.3			59.7			52.3			27.1						
Approach LOS	E			E			D			C						
Intersection delay	46.9			$X_C = 1.31$			Intersection LOS			D						