

MINISCEONGO PARK
Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS)

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1 Brief Description of the Proposed Action	1-1
1.2 Potential Impacts and Proposed Mitigation Measures	1-2
1.2.1 Soils and Topography	1-2
1.2.2 Surface Water Resources	1-5
1.2.3 Ecology and Wetlands	1-7
1.2.4 Land Use and Zoning	1-9
1.2.5 Transportation	1-11
1.2.6 Historic and Archaeological Resources	1-16
1.2.7 Community Facilities and Services	1-17
1.2.8 Fiscal Analysis	1-20
1.2.9 Noise and Air Resources	1-22
1.2.10 Visual Resources	1-26
1.2.11 Construction-related Effects	1-28
1.3 Summary of Project Alternatives	1-30
1.3.1 No Action Alternative	1-30
1.3.2 DEIS Plan Alternative	1-30
1.4 Permits and Approvals	1-32
1.5 List of Involved Agencies and Interested Parties	1-34
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION	2-1
2.1 Project Background	2-1
2.2 Site Location and Description	2-2
2.2.1 Location and Context	2-2
2.2.2 Environmental Setting and Resources	2-2
2.2.3 Legal Devices	2-3
2.2.4 Existing Infrastructure	2-3
2.3 Description of the Proposed Action	2-3
2.3.1 Project Description	2-3
2.3.2 Zoning and Existing Land Use	2-7
2.3.3 Zoning/Site Plan Compliance	2-8
2.4 Project Purpose and Need	2-9
2.4.1 Purpose of the Project Sponsor	2-9
2.4.2 Public Need	2-10
2.5 Approvals, Reviews and Permits	2-11
2.5.1 Reviews, Permits and Approvals	2-11
2.5.2 Involved and Interested Agencies	2-12
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, POTENTIAL IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION	3.1-1
3.1 Geology, Soils and Topography	3.1-1
3.1.1 Existing Conditions	3.1-1

Table of Contents

February 6, 2009

3.1.2	Potential Impacts	3.1-3
3.1.3	Mitigation Measures	3.1-6
3.2	Surface Water Resources	3.2-1
3.2.1	Existing Conditions	3.2-1
3.2.2	Potential Impacts	3.2-3
3.2.3	Mitigation Measures	3.2-5
3.3	Ecology and Wetlands	3.3-1
3.3.1	Existing Conditions	3.3-1
3.3.2	Potential Impacts	3.3-7
3.3.3	Mitigation Measures	3.3-10
3.4	Land Use and Zoning	3.4-1
3.4.1	Existing Conditions	3.4-1
3.4.2	Potential Impacts	3.4-6
3.4.3	Mitigation Measures	3.4-17
3.5	Transportation	3.5-1
3.5.1	Existing Traffic Conditions	3.5-1
3.5.2	Existing Roadway Network	3.5-2
3.5.3	Level of Service Criteria	3.5-3
3.5.4	Existing Levels of Service	3.5-4
3.5.5	No-Build Traffic Conditions	3.5-9
3.5.6	Build Traffic Conditions	3.5-9
3.5.7	Evaluation of Conditions with the Proposed Patrick Farm Development	3.5-15
3.5.8	Internal Circulation	3.5-15
3.5.9	Sight Distance	3.5-16
3.5.10	Traffic Accident Data	3.5-16
3.5.11	Pedestrian Circulation	3.5-16
3.5.12	Mass Transit	3.5-17
3.5.13	Mitigation Measures	3.5-17
3.6	Historic and Archaeological Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1	Existing Conditions	3.6-1
3.6.2	Potential Impacts	3.6-2
3.6.3	Mitigation Measures	3.6-2
3.7	Community Facilities and Services	3.7-1
3.7.1	Demography	3.7-1
3.7.2	Police Protection	3.7-2
3.7.3	Fire Protection	3.7-5
3.7.4	Ambulance Service and Hospital Facilities	3.7-5
3.7.5	Schools	3.7-7
3.7.6	Recreation Facilities	3.7-9
3.7.7	Electricity	3.7-10
3.7.8	Solid Waste Disposal	3.7-11
3.7.9	Water Supply	3.7-12
3.7.10	Sewage Treatment Facilities	3.7-13

Table of Contents

February 6, 2009

3.8	Fiscal Analysis	3.8-1
3.8.1	Existing Conditions	3.8-1
3.8.2	Potential Impacts	3.8-3
3.8.3	Mitigation Measures	3.8-7
3.9	Noise and Air Resources	3.9-1
3.9.1	Noise	3.9-1
3.9.1.1	Existing Conditions	3.9-1
3.9.1.2	Potential Impacts	3.9-8
3.9.1.3	Mitigation Measures	3.9-10
3.9.2	Air Quality	3.9-11
3.9.2.1	Existing Conditions	3.9-11
3.9.2.2	Potential Impacts	3.9-17
3.9.2.3	Mitigation Measures	3.9-21
3.10	Visual Resources	3.10-1
3.10.1	Existing Conditions	3.10-1
3.10.2	Potential Impacts	3.10-3
3.10.3	Mitigation Measures	3.10-5
3.11	Construction-Related Impacts	3.11-1
3.11.1	Existing Conditions	3.11-1
3.11.2	Potential Impacts	3.11-3
3.11.3	Mitigation Measures	3.11-5
4.0	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS THAT CANNOT BE AVOIDED IF THE PROJECT IS IMPLEMENTED	4-1
5.0	ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.1	No Action Alternative	5-1
5.2	DEIS Alternative	5-2
5.3	Code Compliant Alternative	5-6
5.4	Impact Comparison	5-7
6.0	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES	6-1
7.0	GROWTH-INDUCING ASPECTS	7-1
8.0	EFFECTS ON THE USE AND CONSERVATION OF ENERGY RESOURCES	8-1
9.0	APPENDICES	
Appendix A	SEQRA Documentation	
Appendix B	Correspondence	
Appendix C	Drainage Report	
Appendix D	Traffic Report	
Appendix E	Surcharge Plan	
Appendix F	Letter Report on Construction and Demolition Debris	

List of Tables

	<u>Page</u>	
Table 2-1	Minisceongo Park Development Program	2-4
Table 2-2	Project Compliance with "MU-2" (Use Group n) District Bulk Requirements Town of Ramapo	2-8
Table 2-3	Project Compliance with "C" District Bulk Requirements Town of Haverstraw	2-9
Table 3.1-1	Existing Slopes	3.1-1
Table 3.1-2	Soil Characteristics and Limitations	3.1-2
Table 3.2-1	Best Usage of Surface Water	3.2-1
Table 3.2-2	Peak Pre-Development Flow Summary for 24-Hour Design Storms	3.2-2
Table 3.2-3	Peak Flow Comparisons for 24-Hour Design Storms	3.2-3
Table 3.3-1	Project Site Vegetation	3.3-2
Table 3.3-2	Project Site Wildlife	3.3-4
Table 3.3-3	Potential Resident and Migratory Fish - South Branch	3.3-5
Table 3.3-4	Assessment of On-site Freshwater Wetland Benefits	3.3-7
Table 3.3-5	Existing and Proposed Approximate Land Coverage	3.3-8
Table 3.4-1	MU-2 Zoning District Bulk Requirements Town of Ramapo	3.4-3
Table 3.4-2	Minimum Off Street Parking Spaces for Ramapo, MU-2 District	3.4-3
Table 3.4-3	C Zoning District Bulk Requirements Town of Haverstraw	3.4-5
Table 3.4-4	Minimum Off Street Parking Spaces for Haverstraw, C District	3.4-5
Table 3.4-5	Project Compliance with MU-2 District Bulk Requirement Town of Ramapo	3.4-7
Table 3.4-6	Compliance with Parking Requirements for MU-2 District Ramapo	3.4-8
Table 3.4-7	Project Compliance with C District Bulk Requirements Town of Haverstraw	3.4-10
Table 3.4-8	Compliance with Parking Requirements for Haverstraw, C District	3.4-11
Table 3.4-9	Town of Haverstraw Areas and Floor Area Ratios*	3.4-12
Table 3.4-10	Compliance with Parking and Loading Requirements for Haverstraw, C District	3.4-13
Table 3.5-1	Level of Service Criteria	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2	Level of Service Summary Table	3.5-5
Table 3.5-3	Project Site Trip Generation Rates	3.5-9
Table 3.5-4	Project Site Trip Generation	3.5-10
Table 3.5-5	Sight Distance	3.5-16
Table 3.5-6	Summary of Recommended Improvements	3.5-18
Table 3.7-1	2000 Population Statistics	3.7-1
Table 3.7-2	East Ramapo Central School District Enrollment (2008/2009)	3.7-8
Table 3.7-3	Parkland Requirements	3.7-10
Table 3.7-4	Minisceongo Park Projected Water Use	3.7-14
Table 3.8-1	Tax Lots and 2005 Assessed Value	3.8-1
Table 3.8-2	2008 Property Tax Revenues, Town of Haverstraw	3.8-2
Table 3.8-3	2008 Property Tax Revenues, Town of Ramapo	3.8-2
Table 3.8-4	2008 Assessed Value of Minisceongo Park	3.8-4
Table 3.8-5	Projected Tax Revenues - Town of Haverstraw	3.8-5
Table 3.8-6	Projected Tax Revenues - Town of Ramapo	3.8-6
Table 3.9-1	Perception of Changes in Noise Levels	3.9-1
Table 3.9-2	Community Response to Increases in Noise Levels	3.9-1
Table 3.9-3	Rockland County Noise Regulations	3.9-5

Table of Contents

February 6, 2009

Table 3.9-4	Site Noise Measurements	3.9-6
Table 3.9-5	Construction Noise Levels (dBA)	3.9-8
Table 3.9-6	Federal and State Air Quality Standards	3.9-12
Table 3.9-7	Principal Sources of Air Pollutants	3.9-13
Table 3.9-8	NYSDEC Air Quality Monitoring	3.9-14
Table 3.9-9	2007 Regional Air Quality Data Summary	3.9-15
Table 3.9-10	Microscale Analysis	3.9-18
Table 3.9-11	10% or More Increase in Traffic Volume Evaluation Build Year 2011	3.9-19
Table 5-1	Projected Tax Revenues - Town of Haverstraw	5-4
Table 5-2	Projected Tax Revenues - Town of Ramapo	5-5
Table 5-3	Alternative Impact Comparisons	5-8
Table 7-1	New York State: Percent Sales by Retail Category	7-2

List of Figures

	<u>Follows Section</u>	
Figure 2-1	Regional Location Map	2.0
Figure 2-2	Conceptual Site Plan	2.0
Figure 2-3	Conceptual Landscape Plan	2.0
Figure 2-4	Representative Architecture	2.0
Figure 2-5	Zoning Map	2.0
Figure 3.1-1	Local Topography	3.1
Figure 3.1-2	Existing Slopes Map	3.1
Figure 3.1-3	Soil Map	3.1
Figure 3.1-4	Disturbance Area Map	3.1
Figure 3.2-1	Project Site on FEMA Map	3.2
Figure 3.2-2	Pre-Development Drainage Basin Map	3.2
Figure 3.2-3	Post-Development Drainage Basin Map	3.2
Figure 3.3-1	Aerial Photograph of Project Site	3.3
Figure 3.3-2	Project Site on National Wetlands Inventory Map	3.3
Figure 3.3-3	Project Site on NYS DEC Wetlands Map	3.3
Figure 3.3-4	On-Site Delineated Wetlands	3.3
Figure 3.4-1	Location Map	3.4
Figure 3.4-2	Land Use Map	3.4
Figure 3.4-3	Zoning Map	3.4
Figure 3.4-4	Site Location in Highlands Region	3.4
Figure 3.4-5	Site Location in Highlands Region	3.4
Figure 3.5-1	Site Location	3.5
Figure 3.5-2	2008 Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour	3.5
Figure 3.5-3	2008 Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour	3.5
Figure 3.5-4	2008 Existing Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour	3.5
Figure 3.5-5	2011 Projected Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour	3.5
Figure 3.5-6	2011 Projected Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour	3.5
Figure 3.5-7	2011 Projected Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour	3.5
Figure 3.5-8	Other Development Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-9	Other Development Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-10	Other Development Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-11	2011 No-Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-12	2011 No-Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-13	2011 No-Build Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-14	Arrival Distribution (Residential)	3.5
Figure 3.5-15	Departure Distribution (Residential)	3.5
Figure 3.5-16	Arrival Distribution (Retail)	3.5
Figure 3.5-17	Departure Distribution (Retail)	3.5
Figure 3.5-18	Site Generated Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (Residential)	3.5
Figure 3.5-19	Site Generated Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (Residential)	3.5
Figure 3.5-20	Site Generated Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (Residential)	3.5

Table of Contents

February 6, 2009

Figure 3.5-21	Site Generated Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (Retail)	3.5
Figure 3.5-22	Site Generated Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (Retail)	3.5
Figure 3.5-23	Site Generated Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (Retail)	3.5
Figure 3.5-24	2011 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (w/o Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-25	2011 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (w/o Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-26	2011 Build Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (w/o Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-27	Other Development Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-28	Other Development Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-29	Other Development Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-30	2011 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-31	2011 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-32	2011 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-33	2011 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak AM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-34	2011 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Peak PM Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-35	2011 Build Traffic Volumes Weekend Peak Saturday Highway Hour (with Patrick Farm)	3.5
Figure 3.5-36	Conceptual Improvement Plan - US Route 202	3.5
Figure 3.7-1	Location of Community Facilities within two mile radius of the Project Site	3.7
Figure 3.7-2	School Locations in East Ramapo Central School District	3.7
Figure 3.9-1	Noise Monitoring Locations	3.9
Figure 3.10-1	Existing Views of Project Site	3.10
Figure 3.10-2	Existing Views of Project Site	3.10
Figure 3.10-3	Existing Views of Project Site	3.10
Figure 3.10-4	Existing Views of Project Site	3.10
Figure 3.10-5	Existing Views of Project Site	3.10
Figure 3.10-6	Existing Views from Gurnee Park and Long Path	3.10
Figure 3.10-7	Representative Residential Architecture	3.10
Figure 3.10-8	Landscape Plan	3.10
Figure 3.11-1	Surcharge Plan	3.11
Figure 5-1	Alternative-DEIS Plan	5.0